

IS SHARIA ISLAMIC LAW COMPATIBLE WITH THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION?

By Abdullah Al Araby

Republican presidential candidate Ben Carson courageously stated that he could not support the election of a practicing Muslim to the presidency of the United States. The reason was that he doesn't think Islam is consistent with the American Constitution. Is Mr. Carson justified in his statement? Let's examine the facts.

Islam is more than a religion; it is an all-encompassing way of life with regulations for every facet of life. The life governing rules are embodied in what is known as Sharia Law. These laws are based upon the Quran, the Hadith (Mohammed's sayings,) and the Sira (Mohammed's biography). How does Sharia Law compare to the American Constitution that outlines the values and institutions that we hold dear?

First: Liberty

The United States was built on the Bill of Rights, established by the founding fathers that came here to escape religious oppression. The Constitution gives citizens the right to express themselves as they please. We are free to criticize any governing elected official or policy; and are free to worship any way that we choose and to speak our minds about our own religion or that of others. Americans can act anyway that they deem appropriate as long as we don't violate the rights of others.

What would happen to this freedom in an Islamic state? Would the citizens be granted the right to choose the religion they want, or would they be forced to accept Islam according to the

Quranic verse: ***“If any one desires a religion other than Islam, never will it be accepted of him, and in the hereafter he will be in the ranks of those who have lost.”*** (Qur’an 3:85). How about the right of a Muslim to change to another religion? Is that a non-controversial matter as it is in our Bill of Rights? Or, would it mean that whoever changed from Islam would be charged with apostasy which is punishable by death? Mohammad said ***“Whoever changes his religion, kill him.”*** Hadith Al Bukhari Vol. 9:57.

Second: Democracy

The USA’s system of government gives citizens the right of self-rule through duly elected officials of their choice. These representatives write the laws that best concur with the wishes of the people to maintain their way of life. We are to even have a say in what amount of taxes we are to pay and how the funds are to be spent.

One important principle in this great system is the separation of church and state. Islam, however, is actually a political-religious system. It’s built on an opposing concept, for ***“Islam is a religion and a state.”*** According to Islam, Sharia law is the principal sources of legislation: ***“We have sent down to thee the book in truth, that you might judge men as guided by God.”*** (Qur’an 4:105). If Sharia is the basis for law, what are some of the rules and regulations that might be eventually imposed under a Muslim president? Here are just a few examples:

- Stealing: punished by hand amputation (Qur’an 5:38)
- Adultery: punished by public flogging (Qur’an 24:2)
- Drinking: punished by 40 or 80 lashes (Al Bukhari 8:770)
- Resisting Islam: punished by death, crucifixion or the cutting off of the hands and feet (Qur’an 5:33)
- Blasphemy against Allah punishable by death (Qur’an 5:33-34)

- Blasphemy against the Prophet Mohammad: Death – even if the accuser repents (Qur'an 33:57)
- “Sodomy (homosexuality): Death for the person committing the act, as well as for the one receiving it. (Qur'an 4:15-16 and Sunan Abu Dawood 38:4447)

Third: Equality

Under our system in the United States, there is not to be any discrimination among citizens based on gender, race, color, or religion. **Would Islam treat men and women equally?**

- It teaches that men are superior to women (Qur'an 2:228)
- It gives male heirs double portions of inheritance as that given female heirs. (Qur'an 4:11)
- When testifying in court a man's witness has the twice the value of a woman's. (Qur'an 2:282)

Would Islam treat non-Muslims fairly?

- The Quran warns Muslims not to befriend Jews or Christians? (Qur'an 9:30)
- The Quran imposes “Jizya” (tribute tax) on Christians and Jews. They pay the extra Jizya tax just for the right of existence in Islamic culture (Qur'an 9:29)
- Mohammad says that a Muslim cannot be legally executed for killing a non-Muslim. “ Al Bukhari Vol. 9:50

My fellow Americans

The threat of Islam is real. Muslims are taught from youth to give first allegiance to the religion of Islam above any non-Muslim nation. In essence, for most any fundamentalist Muslim, citizenship in the USA is apt to be merely a stepping stone to see Sharia Law established as the law of the land. To elect a Muslim President of the USA, carries great risks.

Get this message around. Keep America free, for all to enjoy.

Senators McCain and Graham: You're knocking on the wrong door!

By **Abdullah Al Araby**

Several years ago, I attended a human rights conference held at the Congress building in Washington, DC. A session went long and I felt bodily pressures. I did not have a clue about the layout of the building. I was wandering the corridors like a babe in the woods.

I saw a kind faced gentleman approaching and asked the location of the men's facility. He pointed me to where it was hidden from anyone unfamiliar with the building. Later it dawned on me that the man who had been so helpful was none other than the honorable Senator Lindsay Graham.

In recent days, Senator Graham and Senator McCain arrived in Egypt to attempt to bring solutions to the violence of what our government wants to label a military coup. Unfortunately, they are being led about like babes in the woods by the deceptive hand of the Morsi administration. Gentlemen, as well-intentioned as you might be, you have been led to the wrong address.

It is the descendants of the first citizens of Egypt, the Coptic Christian Community that need your help. Islamic radicalism has been attempting to hide them away in a beggarly alcove for centuries as though they did not exist. It is the Copts that have been the victims of violence and injustice. It is not them alone who are suffering disappointment due to the failure of Dr. Morsi's Muslim Brotherhood backed

government. It is tens of millions of Egyptian citizens that are feeling the consequences of betrayal.

The respected Congressmen went to the wrong address when they thought that the victims would be found in Rabaa or Nahda. That is Brotherhood territory. The people there are far from being innocent. It is they who grappled power using the illegal tricks of buying and falsifying votes; and polling place intimidation in the last election. Soon after coming to power, they reneged on their campaign promises.

Senators, it is naïve to assume that the Morsi crowd simply forgot or needed time to enact their campaign promises. Since the day they came to power, they have systematically concentrated on strengthening Islamist's polices. To the detriment of the masses of Egyptians that wanted better lives, Muslim Brotherhood members have been appointed to every key position throughout the land. Nothing has been done to improve the condition of the poor people. In fact, the national economy has been brought to the doorstep of bankruptcy.

As a result people started a "Rebel" campaign to withdraw their trust from the government. Over 22 million signed a "no confidence" in the government petition. When the government of Dr. Morsi persistently exemplified disregard for all he had said in the campaign, people throughout Egypt took to the streets demanding his ousting. Again, the government refused to yield to the will of the people prompting the armed forces to intervene on behalf of the people.

The Brotherhood members who protest in Rabaa and Nahda have been committing all kinds of crimes including torture and murder. They are taking advantage of women and children by denying them their rights. Supporters that fought to defend Morsi camped in the entrances to apartment buildings. With total disrespect for the decency of the inhabitants of these buildings, they used adjacent streets and parks as toilets.

The foul stench of their urine and excrement filled the nostrils of good people and the accompanying germs were spread everywhere.

At the same time, Mr. McCain and Mr. Graham neglected to visit villages in Upper Egypt such as Al Dabaa in Luxor and Beni Ahmad in Minya where Coptic Christians were subjected to nasty attacks. Innocent Coptic people were wounded and killed; their churches, homes and businesses were burned to the ground. All the while, the appointed authorities looked the other way, unable, or unwilling to help. Injury was added to insult when some innocent victims from Copts were arrested alongside of a few criminal attackers. The plan was to use the criminals to impose a settlement where the victims would lose all of their rights in return for a promise of stopping future attacks.

Perhaps there is an explanation for the American official's eagerness to visit the Muslim brotherhood in Rabaa and Nahda rather than the persecuted Coptic people in Upper Egypt. Unlike the Muslim Brotherhood, the Coptic leadership never called upon the United States for protection. The Copts choose to utilize the Egyptian institutions even though they have not yet delivered full justice to them. Egypt to the Copts is the land of their Forefathers. For them, Egypt is not an insignificant State that should be left to sink in the illusion of the glories of an Islamic Caliphate.

What Could Possibly Be Wrong About Building A Mosque Named

Cordoba at Ground Zero?

By Abdullah Al Araby

The news is out. The Muslims of America are planning to build a \$100 million mosque across the street from 9/11's Ground Zero in New York City. So far, for most Americans there is nothing notable about such news. We are getting used to seeing magnificent multimillion dollar mosques spring up here and there throughout our nation. Little do we realize the extent to which such mosques serve multi-purposes beyond their stated functions as educational centers and places of worship for Muslims. In addition, they are advertisements for gaining converts to Islam. As you will soon understand, the Ground Zero Mosque signals something very significant to the entire Islamic World.

America is the global symbol for religious freedom. We even demonstrate tolerance for religions like Islam that do not show the same tolerance for Americans who want to build churches in Islamic nations. For instance, in Saudi Arabia, Christians are not allowed to build a church on its soil. In Egypt; Coptic Christians need a Presidential Decree of approval to build a church. In addition, such approvals require red tape security clearances that can take decades to obtain.

But, our attitude is, "that is just the way it is in the Islamic World." For us, the contrast between them and us is expected in matters about religious tolerance.

But, do we really understand the extent of the contrast?

America is a huge country encompassing fifty states. The States are already saturated with over 1200 mosques that have been built in recent years. When Muslims ask for a permit to

build a new mosque, nobody ask if there is a need for another mosque. Nobody is required to give an answer. Our attitude is let them build whatever they like, wherever they like. It is of no problem to us. .

However, alarms of some sort ought to arise when a new mosque is planned just a few hundred feet away from where the Twin Towers once stood. Alarms should sound that it was Muslim terrorists that brought down the Twin Towers and took 3,000 lives while doing so. That should spark some pointed questions in the minds of conscientious Americans.

What message is the building of the Ground Zero Mosque sending to Americans? The message is clear; it is a reminder of the defeat of America by Muslims in the Battle of Manhattan on September 11, 2001. The defeat caused the towers of the World Trade Center, the symbol of the American economy, to collapse.

In its place, Muslims are planning a 13 story Islamic Center that will cost 100 million dollars. The proposed Islamic Center will consist of a museum, a library, and a mosque.

The top floor will feature skyscraper minaret. Five times daily, Muslims will be called to prayer from that minaret. The Arabic prayers will be blasted over loud speakers down upon the very spot where over 20,000 victim body parts were collected in the aftermath of that horrible day.

It is a humiliating message to America. It is an insult to the country that generously provided safe havens to Muslims, many of whom were persecuted in their Islamic mother countries.

And, what message will this send to the families of the victims of September 11, 2001? About 3,000 people were killed on that tragic day. Those people never harbored any animosity towards Islam or Muslims. They never pointed a gun at Muslims. They never uttered unkind words about Muslims. Nevertheless, they found themselves facing terrifying deaths. Some burnt to death. Many died by toxic smoke inhalations.

Others jumped to their deaths to get relief from the flames. Countless others were blown to bits by the crashing planes and their body parts were scattered throughout the area. .

But, the insult intensifies with the name the Muslims plan to give their new mosque!

The name of the proposed mosque is The Cordoba Mosque.

A little glance back in Islamic history will reveal the significance of naming a mosque on American soil the Cordoba Mosque. We must travel back in time to the hegira period of 622 A.D. The hegira period began after Mohammad moved his headquarters in Arabia from Mecca to Medina. That is when he was transformed from an eccentric religionist to a Jihad warlord. During that era his armies conquered much of the Mediterranean World including Andalusia in Spain. Huge sections of civilization were conquered by Muslims and became part of the Islamic Empire. It was ruled by the Umayyad dynasty with Damascus as its capital. After the fall of the Umayyad dynasty and the rise of the Abbasid dynasty, a bitter war took place to eliminate the Umayyad rulers in all of the empire. However, Muslims in Andalusia chose a young man of the Umayyad by the name of Abdel Rahman Aldakhel to be their ruler. Aldakhel was one of few Umayyads that was able to escape the Abbasid crush.

Aldakhel ruled Andalusia for 34 years and was credited with many accomplishments. His greatest feat was initiating the project of building the Cordoba Mosque. The mosque started a little after the First Hegira Century. As time passed it was enlarged by subsequent rulers. It was completed 250 years later. It was acclaimed as the benchmark of Islamic architecture.

Until this day, Muslims sing the praises of The Cordoba Mosque. The mosque was said to be an architectural master piece, the best structure ever designed by Muslim engineers.

However, after the fall of Cordoba to Spain, some 774 years ago, the mosque was turned into a Catholic church. From that day until this, it is heavily guarded by Spanish police.

Spanish authorities refused to give the mosque back to Muslim rulers. They would not sell it back to them no matter what price was offered. At this juncture in history, Muslims are forbidden to even pray at the site

The picture will get clearer about what the Cordoba title means for the Ground Zero Mosque when you understand the following fact and ask the following questions. The fact is the building site was formerly owned by the Catholic Church. Could the Cordoba Mosque project in Manhattan, New York possibly be a settling of ancient scores with the Christian World for what happened to the ancient Cordoba Mosque in Andalusia, Spain centuries ago? Is the fact that the mosque is to be built a few hundred feet from the site of the Battle of Manhattan significant? Could it be that the Muslims are signaling something that Americans would never guess? Shouldn't we be a little suspicious to learn that much of the funding is coming from Islamic strongholds that aid and abet terrorists? Is it possible that the building of the Cordoba Mosque near 9/11's catastrophic site is the Islamic World's prideful celebration of the defeat of the greatest military force in the world? Does it give them ongoing good cause to rejoice over the two towers of the World Trade Center crumbling into ashes and piles of debris? The Islamic World is very much into symbolisms. On September the 11th, 1683 the Muslim Jihad armies were defeated at Europe's door. The timing of the 9/11/2001 attack on America's shores was not coincidental. It was a signal to the home of the free and Christians everywhere that Islam has regained its strength and is back with a vengeance. Are we wise to think there are no correlations between the Muslim loss of the Cordoba Mosque 1000 years ago and rebuilding another of the same name in present day America?

But, of what benefit is for anyone to dig up old memories remindful of bitterness that affected almost everybody. In that event, memory wouldn't forget the Cathedral of Hagia Sophia that was built in the 6th Century in Istanbul, Turkey. The conquering Muslims turned it into a mosque for 5 centuries. It has subsequently been changed into a museum.

An important question needs to be posed. Is the planned building of the new Cordoba Mosque in New York a genuine attempt to bring healing and peace amongst people of different faiths? Or, is it a call to reopen old wounds and to cause streams of blood to run?

Surely, the building of that mosque does not help the efforts of reconciliation between followers of different religions in America. If I were a Muslim, I would object to building the mosque. My concern would be that building a mosque on the designated site at this time would be inappropriate. Naming the edifice the Cordoba Mosque does not serve the best interest of anyone. If American Muslims and the Islamic World at large really want peace they should realize that building a Cordoba Mosque near Ground Zero is an inflammatory and provocative act of grotesque proportions.

If American Muslims intend to really honor the martyrs of September 11, here is a suggestion that would do it. They can buy full-page ads in major newspapers and on major TV networks around the country to commemorate the 10th anniversary of the 9/11 tragedy. The gist of the ads could state something like this: "On the occasion of the tenth commemoration of the attack of September 11, 2001, we, the Muslims of America, unconditionally condemn the attack as evil attack. We condemn the terrorists and the terrorist organization that planned and funded it. We deeply regret that Islamic religious texts were used to justify violence and the killing of innocent people. We declare that we honor those who died and those who were injured on that day. We send our sincere condolences to their families and loved ones. On behalf of every Muslim in the

world, we apologize to the United States.”

American Muslims and the Question of Loyalty

By Abdullah Al Araby

Today is the fifth of November, 2009, and the hot news that is circulating the American and international media comes from Texas. An American officer of Palestinian Islamic heritage opened fire on his American officers' colleagues in Ft. Hood, killing at least thirteen and injuring thirty while he was crying "Allaho Akbar" (God is great). A guard at the camp shot him four times to stop him; otherwise the casualties could have been greater.

The details are still coming out but from what we know so far, the name of the attacker is Major Nidal Malik Hasan; he works as a psychiatrist in the armed forces. He volunteered to join the military and was educated at the expense of the American military until finishing medical school and specializing in psychiatry. As for the motive behind the crime, it is believed to being upset after receiving orders to get prepared to go to Afghanistan to join the American forces already there fighting Al Qaeda and Taliban.

This is not the first time when an American Muslim soldier turns his gun towards his mates. There is a long list of similar incidents. As an example, on March 23, 2003, Hassan Akbar killed two of his colleagues: Captain Christopher Seifert and Major Gregory Stone, and 14 other soldiers while

they were fighting in Iraq.

This is not a justification to make a blanket statement condemning all American Muslims as being disloyal to America. The United States is home to an estimated three to six million Muslims. Many of them immigrated to the United States fleeing persecution in their Muslim mother countries. The United States accepted them and offered them a safe haven. There is no doubt that the majority of American Muslims is peaceful, productive and law abiding citizens. They pay their taxes and do their fair share in contributing to the prosperity of this country.

But these repeated incidents of betrayal within the military, in addition to other terrorist attacks committed by Muslims, and the attacks that were foiled by our home security forces, as well as the possibility of more acts committed by sleeping cells; all these force Americans to explore the roots behind it. Certainly, there must be a religious ideology that pushes these Muslim fundamentalist zealous to act against the very country that hosted them and extended to them a helping hand.

For sure, those who adopt this line of thinking believe that they owe a loyalty only to Islam. Being loyal to the country that they hold its citizenship carries no weight at all to them. America to them is an infidel country with a majority of non-Muslims population, mostly Christians and Jews. Also, America to them is the country that is currently engaged in fighting Muslim countries in Iraq and Afghanistan. Muslim principals oblige Muslims not to kill another Muslim under any circumstances. In addition, Islam orders Muslims to support their Muslim brothers whether justly or otherwise. Nidal, himself, was quoted as saying that he is a Muslim first and an American second. From the testimony of Imams in the mosques that he frequented, all of them say that he was a good Muslim. Perhaps he was too good of a Muslim to be a good American soldier, as his religion dictates.

Islam has rules regarding all issues of life. One of these issues pertains to immigrating to live among infidels in non-Muslim countries.

Islam divides the world into two camps: *the House of Islam* and *the House of War*. *The House of Islam* is where Muslims live, and *the House of War* is where non-Muslims live. The Muslims living in the House of Islam are in a constant state of war with non-Muslims living in the House of War until they are defeated and are subjugated to Islam. The general rule is that Muslims are not allowed to live in any place other than the House of Islam. If a Muslim happened to live in a country where the majority is non-Muslim, he/she must leave it to live in an Islamic country. This, unless he is either unable or has a good reason for staying.

These principles are based on what has been mentioned in the Quran, (Sura An Nisa: 97-99)

97. When angels take the souls of those who die in sin against their souls, they say: "In what (plight) Were ye?" They reply: "Weak and oppressed Were we in the earth." They say: "Was not the earth of Allah spacious enough for you to move yourselves away (From evil)?" Such men will find their abode in Hell,- What an evil refuge! –

98. Except those who are (really) weak and oppressed – men, women, and children – who have no means in their power, nor (a guide-post) to their way.

99. For these, there is hope that Allah will forgive: For Allah doth blot out (sins) and forgive again and again.

In The Haddith attributed to Mohammed, the Prophet of Islam says, " I am innocent of any Muslim who resides among the polyesters."

It is clear that the Muslim is only allowed to live in a non-Muslim country only when there is a good reason to justify it.

This is what Muslim scholar Al Kortobgy says, "A Muslim can abandon the necessity to immigrate away from non-Muslim countries only if he lacks the means (money) or the way (transportation) to do so."

The opinion accepted by most Muslim theologians, is unacceptable for Muslim to continue residing among the infidels unless there is a benefit to Muslims from it. They cite an evidence for it when Al Abbas decided to stay in Mecca among the polyesters even after Mohammed and his companions decided to immigrate to Medina. The reason was that Al Abbas wanted to stay in Mecca to inform Mohammed of what is taking place there. In other words, he was acting as a spy for Mohammed. Other than that, it is not preferred that a Muslim continues living among infidels for fear he may be influenced by their way of life and may imitate them.

Muslim scholars also warn Muslims of obtaining the citizenship of an infidel country lest they may be forced to join their military and participate in wars against Muslim countries as it is going on now in Iraq and Afghanistan.

These Islamic rules cast doubts on the intentions of fundamentalist Muslims when they insist on residing in a non-Muslim country like America. It should make us question their motive, and whether they have an agenda from staying in America.

America must understand that Islam forbids Muslims from participating in its wars especially against Islamic countries. The strange thing is, while these Muslims are fully aware of that, yet they are the ones who volunteer to join the American army. They probably do so to take advantage of all the benefits that they will gain, such as free education. It has been reported that the cost of educating Nidal amounts to about half a million dollars. Nidal actually volunteered to join the military, and to improve his chances to be accepted, he lied in the application maintaining that he doesn't have

any religious affiliation. Statistics says that there are between ten to twenty thousands Muslims in the United States armed forces. Perhaps they wanted to have the cake and eat it too. They wanted to take advantage of the benefits, while they hope to be lucky enough not to be called on for duty. Catastrophes take place when things don't go exactly as hoped for.

Walid Shoebat, a Christian converted from Islam, who was once a member of Al Fateh Palestinian terrorist group summed it all when he said, "America needs to be awakened from its sleep and its unwillingness to face the issues of fundamentalist Islam in our midst which is the cause of the tragedy at Ft. Hood." He added, "Some very serious decisions need to be made when it comes to having Muslims protecting our country, as it is impossible to know whether they maybe honorable or foxes in the hen house."

The bottom line, since you can not differentiate between who is a fundamentalist Muslim and who is not, America has no choice but; ban all Muslims from its military.

Apostasy in Islam

The Point of No Return

By Abdullah Al Araby

For fourteen centuries, Muslims were able to conceal some of their most outrageous teachings from the rest of the world. Their deceptions were hidden behind language, cultural and geographic barriers. Modern technology and new communication methods have made it difficult for Muslims to hide the dark

side of Islam any longer. Muslim advocates, especially in the West, are now faced with the tough task of explaining the discrepancies between how they want Islam perceived as a tolerant, civil and peaceful religion; and the realities of some of its basic tenets, which are quite the opposite. They're finding it hard to maintain the façades and to simultaneously remain faithful to the harsh doctrines that are essential to the practices of authentic Islam.

Damage control has become one of their main objectives in modern societies. It's not unusual for various types of contradictions to happen as they do so. This was recently demonstrated by some rulings made by Sheikh Ali Gomaa, the Grand Mufti of Egypt. He is Egypt's superior authority in charge of issuing official *fatwas* (Islamic religious rulings).

Ali Gomaa issued a wide range of opinions on critical issues of Islam such as Jihad, women's status and the right of Muslims to change religions. News agencies around the world published them in multiple languages. In regard to a Muslim's right to renounce Islam and to join another religion that is called apostasy, his initial rulings sounded lenient. The Washington Post-Newsweek forum in English was one of the forums that published his decisions.

Here are excerpts from what he said on the issue of apostasy:

"The essential question before us is: Can a person who is a Muslim choose a religion other than Islam? The answer is yes, they can, because the Quran says, 'Unto you your religion, and unto me my religion,' (Quran 109:6) and, 'Whosoever will, let him believe, and whosoever will, let him disbelieve,' (Quran18:29) and, 'There is no compulsion in religion. The right direction is distinct from error' (Quran 2:256)."

He added, "These verses from the Quran discuss a freedom that God affords all people. But from a religious prospective, the act of abandoning one's religion is a sin punishable by God on

the Day of Judgment. If the case in Question is one of merely rejecting faith, then there is no worldly punishment." He went on to state, "If, however, the crime of undermining the foundations of the society is added to the sin of apostasy, then the case must be referred to a judicial system whose role is to protect the integrity of the society....According to Islam, it is not permitted for Muslims to reject their faith, so if a Muslim were to leave Islam and adopt another religion, they would thereby be committing a sin in the eyes of Islam. Religious belief and practice is a personal matter, and society only intervenes when that personal matter becomes public and threatens the well-being of its members."

My first reaction to these statements was that I thought they were balanced and reasonable. I had hopes that Islam was softening its extreme attitudes towards those who leave Islam. It seemed that the Grand Mufti was emphasizing that Muslims do have the freedom to change religions. He emphatically implied that such matters are personal, between man and his Creator. He also asserted that although such conversions are sinful, that God, rather than man, would enact the punishments in the Day of Judgment. He only cited one exception. It would be in instances wherein a person's conversion to another faith had the potential to undermine the foundations of a society. In such cases he suggested that the courts should get involved to settle the issue. Although I was concerned about that exception, I dismissed it as unimportant. I couldn't imagine how a person switching religions could be interpreted as an act that could undermine the foundation of an entire society.

My hopes were soon shattered. A few days later, the Grand Mufti, issued another statement. This time he was speaking in Arabic in Cairo and what he said was completely different: "What I actually said was that Islam prohibits a Muslim from changing his religion and it's a crime that must be punished."

It was then that I realized that we had all been fooled,

again. The Muslim strategy of only speaking half-truths was still alive and well. What is being said in places like Washington to English speaking groups is almost simultaneously retracted and rendered meaningless when they speak in Arabic in places like Cairo. What was originally presented as simply a rare and remote possible exception had become the general rule. Changing religions from Islam to another faith is interpreted as an act of apostasy. The Islamic mindset dictates that such apostasies can undermine the foundations of Muslim societies.

It's difficult for non-Muslims to understand how a personal decision to change one's religion by one Muslim or even hundreds could undermine an entire Islamic society. And, assuming that it could, would denial of the facts change anything? Apparently the grand Mufti thought so. However, he must have imagined that he achieved both of his goals. On one hand, it was to polish Islam's image in the West; and on the other, he spoke the truth about Islamic polices in the Islamic world.

The only way that Islamists like the Mufti can continually get away with such deceptive maneuvers is for us to allow them to do so. There must be clarity about Islam's consistent track record of doubletalk about thorny issues such as this one. Non-Muslims really need to know the truth about just what constitutes apostasy in the Muslim world.

Tolerant Verses

One of the most popular tactics that Muslims utilize to promote their religion is quotes from the portions of the Quran that Mohammad wrote while he headquartered in Mecca during the first years of Islam. In that stage of Islam's development it was weak in numbers and influence. At that time he was trying to win the support of the Arabs by peaceful means. His attitudes and strategies completely changed after he moved to Medina. There his movement gained numbers and he

was able to organize a strong army and to impose his religion by force. In order to cover the contradictions between the tone of the verses written in Mecca and those written in Medina, Mohammad came up with the doctrine of the *abrogator and the abrogated*. It nullifies the Quran's Meccan revelations and replaces them with those of Medina. When Islamists quote the Mecca verses that sound peaceful and conciliatory they know full well that those verses have been rendered obsolete by the more recent Medinian verses. Here are some examples of the Mecca verses that they use:

Say : O ye that reject Faith!

I worship not that which ye worship,

Nor will ye worship that which I worship.

And I will not worship that which ye have been wont to worship,

Nor will ye worship that which I worship.

To you be your Way, and to me mine Sura 109: 1-6

*Say, "The truth is from your Lord": **Let him who will believe, and let him who will, reject** (it): for the wrong-doers We have prepared a Fire whose (smoke and flames), like the walls and roof of a tent, will hem them in: if they implore relief they will be granted water like melted brass, that will scald their faces, how dreadful the drink! How uncomfortable a couch to recline on! Sura 18:29*

Let there be no compulsion in religion: *Truth stands out clear from Error: whoever rejects evil and believes in Allah hath grasped the most trustworthy hand-hold, that never breaks. And Allah heareth and knoweth all things . Sura 2:256*

What do the Quran and Hadith really teach about Apostasy?

In the Quran

Most Quranic references deal with how God looks on apostates and how he is going to punish them in the Day of Judgment. The first verse has an indirect inference about apostasy, but

nonetheless is used by Muslims as a basis for punishing apostates

The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger, and strive with might and main for mischief through the land is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from opposite sides, or exile from the land: that is their disgrace in this world, and a heavy punishment is theirs in the Hereafter; Sura 5:33

But those who reject Faith after they accepted it, and then go on adding to their defiance of Faith,- never will their repentance be accepted; for they are those who have (of set purpose) gone astray.

Sura 3:90

Any one who, after accepting faith in Allah, utters Unbelief,- except under compulsion, his heart remaining firm in Faith - but such as open their breast to Unbelief, on them is Wrath from Allah, and theirs will be a dreadful Penalty. Sura 16:106

Those who believe, then reject faith, then believe (again) and (again) reject faith, and go on increasing in unbelief,- Allah will not forgive them nor guide them nor guide them on the way. Sura 4:137

In the Hadith

Ibn Abbaas said: The Messenger of Allah said, "Whoever changes his (Islamic) religion, kill him." Al-Bukhary (number 6922)

Abd-Allah ibn Masood said: The Messenger of Allah said: "It is not permissible to shed the blood of a Muslim who bears witness that there is no god except Allah and that I am the Messenger of Allah, except in one of three cases: a soul (in case of murder); a married person who commits adultery; and one who leaves his religion and separates from the main body of Muslims." Sahih Al Bukhary number 6484 and Sahih Muslim

number 1676

How Does Islam Deal with Apostates?

An Apostate in Islam is a Muslim who rejects Islam after being either born into it or after embracing it as a convert from some other religion. An apostate is looked upon as worse than an infidel who has never professed faith in Allah and Mohammad as his prophet or in any way practiced Islam.

Apostasy in Islam is equal to treason. In Islam, politics and religion are inseparably intertwined. A famous fundamental expression in Islam says it all, "Islam is a religion and a state." It is obvious that treason within Islam has spiritual and cultural dimensions. It does not write-off the public rejection of its fundamentals as merely private matters of personal preference in regard to religion. Such actions are viewed as bad influences on Islamic societies as a whole that could escalate into widespread seditions. That is why they deal with it so harshly.

Apostasy within Islam can encompass thoughts of the heart, words spoken and deeds. An example of apostasy of the heart would be most anything that might indicate disbelief in the supremacy of Allah. Associations with any deities such as Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit and claims that such possess divinity that parallels that of Allah would be apostasy by the heart. Apostasy of the tongue would be any words that question the authenticity of the Quran and the tenets of Islam. Serious infractions such as praying to idols, treating the Quran in a casual/disrespectful manner and ceasing to recite one's 5 daily prayer sessions can be quickly deemed as apostasy by deeds.

There are a few types of situations in which Muslims would not be counted as liable for rejecting the faith. Ignorant mistakes, misunderstandings about Sharia Law and instances of coercion to renounce Islam would fall into this category. The

principle of Al Taqeyya makes the provision that any Muslim, who renounces Allah and/or his prophet Mohammad to preserve his own life, is not guilty of apostasy. Such is solely permitted in situations wherein the Muslim was giving lip service as opposed to the true feelings of his heart. (See Sura 16:106)

Islam has two forms of apostasy; the ordinary and the extreme. The ordinary pertains to situations in which the perpetrators did not intend to bring harm and insults to Islam. Under such circumstances most schools of Muslim jurisprudence would give the guilty person three days to express repentance. Those who fail to do so can incur severe punishments including imprisonment, torture and execution. Those who are charged with extreme apostasy aren't given opportunities to express repentance. There is no waiting period for anyone who is indicted for purposely attempting to bring harm or insults to Islam, the Quran, and Mohammad. We have heard of people executed by family members, Islamic city councils and through the auspices of National Religious-State Islamic governments. In recent years there have been reports of the aforementioned executions from Saudi Arabia, Iran, Pakistan, Egypt and Afghanistan. Unquestionably, some have been carried out secretly in Western democracies.

The various sects within Islam normally have similar policies about the severity of verdicts for female apostates as they would for men. The only difference would be that Shafiites, Malikites and Hanbalites would punish the woman exactly as they punish the man; she would be given 3 days to repent or be executed. However, the Hanafites would be more lenient. They would permit a woman to serve out her sentence through life in prison until she either comes to repentance or dies.

This article has stated the truth about what Islam teaches about apostasy. It has said things that you will never hear

about from moderate Muslims. Many inquiring souls in the West venture into Islam. Such people naively think that there is no harm in trying it out to see whether or not it is a religion that suits them. They presume it's easy in and easy out. Nothing could be further from the truth. The Islamists are very deceptive about the matter. They claim that there is freedom of religion in Islam. When you press them on the issue they say that people are free to join Islam or not. But, do people have the same freedom to leave Islam, if they later discovered that this is not what they wanted? The answer is clear; people have the right to either reject or accept Islam when it's initially presented but it is practically impossible to get out of Islam without putting one's own life at risks.

Falling for the Muslim activists' deceptively persuasive sales pitches to experiment with Islam by becoming a Muslim can be disastrous. Two similarly pronounced Arabic words explain it all. In Arabic it is said that the right to accept Islam is *Makfoula*, (meaning: guaranteed.) The "K" in that word is pronounced exactly like the English "K" from the tongue and frontal area of the mouth. There is another word, *Makkfoula*, that is used for those who want to exit Islam. It means denial to do so. The double "KK" is pronounced in Arabic from the throat. That word is closely akin to the Arabic word used for *closing a door shut*. That is precisely what happens to anyone who is either born a Muslim or naively converts to become one and then attempts to leave the faith. The door is closed and locked behind them, so that they cannot leave without being indicted for the crime of apostasy.

There is no easy in and easy out policy with Islam. Let all beware. It's like a steel trap; once you're in, there is no getting out.

What Islam Wants

Let's Hear From Pakistan Jamat-e-Islami Leader, Maulana Nabiullah Khan

"Jamhoooria Islamia", a monthly Baluchi magazine published from Panj-gar, published an interview with Maulana Nawabzadaa Nabiullah Khan, a confidant of and adviser to the Amir of leading Pakistani Islamic party, Jamaat-e-Islami, Maulana Qazi Ahmed, which was conducted by Jalil Amir. The following constitutes are excerpts from that conversation which reveals the fundamentalist ideology and designs of the organisation and its leader.

EQUALITY OF MEN AND WOMEN IS STUPIDITY

Q: The women issue is very controversial nowadays. Taliban and some fundamentalist organizations restrict the freedom of women while some progressive Muslim intellectuals are insisting that the women are equal to men in all spheres. What are Qazi's views on women?

A: As I said earlier, the Prophet Mohammad's (PBUH) views on women are the exact views of Qazi Ahmed and the Jamat. Equality of men and women is stupidity. What men can do, women cannot do. Women are weak physically and mentally compared to men. Men have to take care of women all the time.

WOMEN MUST STAY HOME

Women should not have a life outside the family. Education can be provided to them, but not to compete with men in public.

NO VOTING RIGHTS FOR WOMEN UNDER SHARIA

Qazi had said once that when JI comes to power in Pakistan, he will abolish the voting rights of women and minorities. Only the Muslim men can participate in voting or standing for elections. When I asked the proof from Hadiths, he had quoted many Hadiths in support of that. I asked him why is it that it is never talked about openly in the public by the Jamaat? Qazi had said that the hints are all over the place. But JI did not make it a big issue since the women who currently have the voting rights may vote against JI in the elections if such a thing is said openly.

NON MUSLIMS IN MUSLIM COUNTRIES MUST PAY JIZYA

Q: That brings us to the question of minorities. Will they have to pay Jizya tax?

A: Yes. They have to pay the tax. As explained by Qazi Ahmed , the idea of Jizya is not protection money. But it is a monetary force on the non-Muslim to convert to Islam. Once the Jamaat comes to power, the minorities will be induced (forced) to become Muslims either by monetary or psychological factors.

ALL INDIAN HINDUS WILL BE CONVERTED INTO ISLAM

JI is already equating India with Hindus so that the Hindus of Pakistan will be forced to become Muslims. This was a very successful strategy during the Babri Masjid riots. JI was actively involved in destroying the Hindu temples in Punjab and Sindh. We ordered the destruction of the Hindu family property too. But our main aim was to destroy the Hindu temples. We wrote in the JI pamphlets that destroying each pagan temple makes a Muslim move closer to the heaven of Allah. We used the Hadiths in all the pamphlets. Babar destroyed the Ram temple in Ayodhya because he was a true

believer. The same way, every Muslim should take it upon himself to destroy the Hindu temples in Pakistan. O! ur idea was to encourage the Muslims of India also to destroy the Hindu temples in India. But this was not met with much success since the Hindu police in India started attacking the Muslims who were doing Allah's duty.

Q: What kind of government does JI envisage for Pakistan?

A: It will be the Sharia government. Sharia will be made our constitution so that the eminent Muslim scholars who had completed the schooling in Madrasas will be appointed as the Judges in every court. Qazi wanted to make the presidium on the same model as the Khalifa. Presently our idea is that the entire top leadership of JI as well as all three military Generals will be part of the presidium for which the Qazi will be the Khalifa.

OUR MOTTO IS CONSTANT JIHAD

Our motto is "Constant Jihad". The idea is to keep Pakistan in a constant state of Jihad all the time. Qazi's vision is that Pakistan will be! the centre of the new Islamic Empire that stretches from Burma to Afghanistan and from Srilanka to Tajikistan including Kashmir

Towards that end, the Jamaat will use all tactics from terrorism in the kafir-controlled areas to negotiations in the Muslim controlled areas. Already the Jamaat leaders of Bangladesh and Jamaat leaders of India have accepted the primacy of Pakistani leadership in this regard.

SRI LANKA AND BURMA WILL BE PRESSURIZED TO CONVERT TO ISLAM

Q: What about Srilanka and Burma?

A: Both are Buddhist nations. For that matter even Baluchistan and Afghanistan were Buddhist once while Sindh and Punjab were Hindu earlier. Buddhists are generally weaker in matters of

faith. Hence we hope they will become Muslim with a little pressure. But that will happen only after Jamaat conquers first Pakistan and then India.

Q: What are the plans for India? It looks like the entire India policy of the Jamaat revolves around Kashmir.

A: Yes that is true. But that is for a very good reason. See Kashmir is like a keystone that sits on top of the arch. It is true that the arch holds the entire weight of the keystone. But if you remove the keystone, then the whole arch falls down. That is why it is called the keystone. Kashmir is the keystone for India. Once you remove that, then India can no longer be secular and it will not be a united country either. Once Kashmir is taken out, these militancy movements will break India by asking the similar freedom for Nagaland, Kerala, Mizoram, Meghalaya, Manipur, Assam, Jharkand, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal and Khalistan.

INDIA WILL BE MADE A 100% MUSLIM NATION

Q: Coming back to the same point, if India was to become many countries, how do you deal with the individual Hindu States? They may even become big enemies of Pakistan. Or they may again re-group to challenge Pakistan.

A: Given the differences between the nationalities in India, the options for Pakistan are endless. Qazi's vision is to make the entire India a 100% Muslim Nation. A United India, where Hindus are majority is an impediment to that. Like Prophet Mohammad (PBUH) made Muslims out of pagans of Arabia, Qazi also wants to make Muslims out of the pagans of India.

Q: This is a great vision since this was not even possible for the Muslim dynasties and Moguls who ruled India for the last

700 years.

A: True. That is because they had never really established the Muslim Empire. Though the Kings were Muslims, they had entertained the Hindus in positions of power. When you make an unequivocal statement that only Muslims are voters and declare that India is an Islamic Republic, then automatically the people will become Muslims. Little bit of terror had to be applied to the heart of Hindus and Christians. I will give you a best example. The portions which now constitute Pakistan had 25% Hindu population before Independence.

TERRORIZATION IS THE BEST CONVERSION TOOL

After Independence, a lot of Hindus migrated to India. Yet after the migration, the Pakistani Hindu population was 15%. Do you know what is the percentage now? It is less than 1%. How was this made possible? How did the Hindus convert to Islam in a short span of 20 years whereas for 700 years they had never converted to Islam? That is purely because of the terror of the Partition.

TERROR FORCED HINDUS TO CONVERT TO ISLAM IN PAKISTAN

That terror forced the Hindus who remained in Pakistan to become Muslims. Pure and simple. JI used similar techniques in Punjab and Sindh. Each time a riot breaks out in India, we had used that pretext to strike terror among the Hindus, Christians and Ahmaddiahs. The similar terror will be at the heart of every non-Muslim, both Hindu as well as Christian, in the coming years in the entire of India.

PROPHET SUCCEEDED WITH TERROR SO CAN WE

Qazi is an analytical genius who knows every strategy that was used by Prophet Mohammad (PBUH) and which will be and should be used in India to achieve the total submission to Allah.

Q: Do you envision a possibility that when Kashmir becomes part of Pakistan, there could be large-scale riots in India against Indian Muslims? Hence unwittingly Kashmir could lead to more deaths of Indian Muslims and damage Islamic Ummah.

ANY MUSLIM ASSOCIATING WITH A POLYTHEIST BECOMES A POLYTHEIST

A: Yes that is a possibility. But our ideology is based on Quran and Hadiths. Prophet Mohammad (PBUH) in numerous Hadiths and Allah in Quran had said that any Muslim who associates with a polytheist is a polytheist. Muslims cannot be friendly with a non-Muslim. This includes the Christians, Jews and Hindus. Also Mohammad (PBUH) says that even if the Muslim ruler is not good, the Muslims stay with the ruler rather than go out to a non-Muslim country. Hadiths and Quran are very explicit regarding this. All the Muslims who did not migrate to Pakistan during Partition are in essence Hindus. They may think that they are Muslims. But not before Allah.

They are as self-deluded as the Ahmaddiahs who think they are Muslims when everyone knows that they are not Muslims. Hence the Muslims of India who had decided to stay in India during Partition are not Muslims and their progeny are not Muslim (since they did not migrate to Muslim lands).

Another thing that I noticed in my journeys in India is that the Hindu farmers generally offer their entire harvest to their gods at the end of their harvesting season. This makes the entire crop as haram for Muslims. Yet these so-called Muslims of India are forced to eat this food which was already

offered to some other God other than Allah.

This is explicitly forbidden in Quran.

MUSLIMS GOING TO NON MUSLIM COUNTRIES TO SETTLE DOWN ARE KAFIRS

And this is one of the serious problems of living in non-Muslim countries. Prophet Mohammad (PBUH) knew such things; that is why he ordered Muslims not to live in non-Muslim areas. Yet knowingly these so-called Muslims live in India. AGAIN ALL THE MUSLIMS WHO MIGRATE TO OTHER NON MUSLIM COUNTRIES AND US ARE NON MUSLIMS . Only the Muslims who intend to come back are Muslims not the ones who decide to stay in such countries. Hence we do not care if such Indian Muslims die in the riots.

But due to strategy reasons we do have excellent relations with these Muslims. All the Muslims who work for Pakistan and for the glory of Ummah are real Muslims. They are our front line troops in non-Muslim countries. Hence we have to distribute the arms and ammunitions to these real Muslims in case riots come to their door.

The Kashmiri Muslims are pure Muslims who are toiling under the yoke of Hindu rule. They are victimized by the international conspiracy to keep them under the Hindu rule. That issue is part of the incomplete Partition, whereas the Indian Muslims have accepted Partition and stayed on in India knowingly.

JAMAT WILL BRING SLAVERY BACK TO PAKISTAN

Arabians own slaves. Though Allah says that the slaves should be treated in a nice manner, he did not advocate the abolition of slavery. If slavery is bad as considered in today's world, Allah certainly would have said that slavery is wrong. Prophet Mohammad (PBUH) also said that the slaves should be treated in a good manner and the slaves should be released often. But if

there is no slavery, how can anyone release slaves? Hence the re-introduction of slavery in Pakistan is one of the future plans of the Jamaat.

ALL CAPTURED HINDUS WILL BE MADE SLAVES

All the captured Hindu Indians and Srilankans will be made slaves to work for Pakistani Muslims. Every God-abiding Pakistani Muslim will get slaves once we conquer India. All the slaves who embrace Islam will be set free. Slavery is Islamic. Jamaat is the only political party, which does not voice any opposition to the slavery in Pakistan. We went around all over Arabia. We were surprised to know that there are some Hindus in Yemen. These ancient Yemeni Hindus are not Indians. In my opinion, these Hindus are traders from India in the ancient times. I was also surprised to know that they have a Shiva temple in Yemen. Qazi was very unhappy over this. When he talked to the Yemen leaders, he broached this subject. But the Yemeni leaders refused Qazi's suggestion of forced conversion of these people to Islam. I don't know why they refused. I think it may be due to the large population of Hindus from India who work in Yemen and Arabia. He disliked the current leadership of Arabia for this reason.

In his opinion, Arabia should not allow any non-Muslim into the holy lands of Arabia. Arabia should be 100% pure. A large number of Hindus in Arabia is corrupting the Arabians. Though they live as contractors, they have the potential to corrupt the minds of the Arabians.

HINDU TEMPLES POLLUTE MUSLIM LANDS

One such thing is the presence of Arabians in the Qatar Hindu temple. First the king allowed the Hindus to build a temple and church in the holy lands, thereby polluting the Holy Land.

Second is that even a member of the Royal family visited that temple to inaugurate that temple. To the horror of Qazi, he had learnt that one of the powerful members of the Qatar Royal family is a devotee of a god called Aayappan. This news resolved Qazi to fight the force of the devil thousand fold.

Q: Such things happen in Pakistan today. I mean a friend of mine goes to a Hindu temple. Another friend goes to church meetings.

A: Yes. One of Qazi's relatives wanted to become a Hindu. He did not have a child for many years and it seems he had prayed to a Hindu God and got the child. Hence he felt thankful to that god and wanted to become a Hindu. Qazi got to know of this and called him and threatened him with dire consequences. That relative did not become a Hindu. But that incident made Qazi read more about apostasy.

PUNISHMENT FOR APOSTASY IS DEATH

Quran and Hadith clearly say the punishment for abandonment of Islam is death. Since Sharia is not the law in Pakistan, and the current Pakistani Constitution grants the right to change religion, it is legally correct to declare oneself as Hindu or Christian. But once the JI takes over the government, it will make Sharia as the Constitution. Then Pakistan will also legally execute any person who leaves Islam and joins Ahmaddiah, Christianity or Hinduism the same way Iran and Taliban treats its apostates. He also opined that the presence of the Hindu temples in Pakistan is the root cause of the problem and hence we want to destroy all the Hindu temples and Churches in Pakistan.

Q: This brings us into another area. Right now the Internet is becoming widespread. Even Saudi Arabia is connected with the outside world. Destroying the temples may be good, but how can we insulate the Pakistani and Muslim people against the

corrupting knowledge totally?

A: JI had taken a principled stand on the matter of science and religion. Religion is far superior to science.

ALL THE WORLD'S KNOWLEDGE IS IN QURAN AND HADITHS

Whatever man needs to know is in the Quran and Hadiths. Knowing more will create problems like the Atom bomb and Television.

MUSIC TV AND PHOTOGRAPHY ARE SATANIC AND HARAM Quran and Hadiths are explicit in denouncing pictures. Yet the lure of Satan in the form of photography and television is eating our lives.

Music previously was confined only to the vocal singing. Now science and technology made the music widespread at a cheaper price. These are the lures of Satan. We have to be on guard against these harami things.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ARE BAD FOR CIVILIZATION

Hence, more science and technology is bad for the civilization. I had completed civil engineering. Hence I am privy to scientific knowledge. I can tell you how corrupting that is. It even makes you question the glorious Quran.

EARTH IS FLAT There are many Hadiths, which say that the earth is flat. But any science will tell you the earth is a sphere. But you can use the same science like the relativity theory to prove that the earth is flat. It is the same case with evolution. But the point being that doubt is sown in the minds of the people on the validity of the Quran as the word of God. More and more we work hard to prove the Quran's scientific correctness, more and more people will get apprehensive of the

truth of the Quran. This is an abomination.

WHEN ISLAM TALKS OF GET EDUCATED IT MEANS QURANIC EDUCATION

This is why when we talk of education, we talk of religious education and not scientific education.

It is true that science grew after the Prophet's revolution in Arabia. That was the start of science. Now each and every science is filled with anti-god stuff. If you want to become a doctor, you have to read evolution. If you have to read any engineering, you have to believe in the billions of years old universe theory which effectively says that human beings came to the world only just one or two million years back.

Q: But we still need the arms and knowledge of the Western world, which believes in science. And again you had referred to television as evil. If we abolish photography how can we have passports or identification cards?

A: Yes. That is strategic. With the help of Allah, we will be given oil for them to run their cars and we will have arms in that place. We will use their arms to destroy them in the course of time.

PHOTOGRAPHY WILL BE BANNED UNDER JI

As far as photos are concerned, they will be banned as it was done in Afghanistan under Taliban. If there is no need for people to go out of Pakistan, where is the need for the passports? For those who have to travel to other countries like the leaders of the revolution, they only will be given the passport with photos. For that, we will allow limited photography licensed only to the government. And the biggest corruption in today's Pakistan is Indian satellite TV and

Indian cinemas and Indian songs. We have to abolish these too.

ARABIC WILL BE MADE OFFICIAL LANGUAGE OF PAKISTAN

Q: Currently we have a lot of opposition from Mohajirs regarding reservations. What kind of reservation policy will the JI will have in Pakistan?

A: We approach that problem as the problem of language. Urdu-speaking Muslims and Sindhi-speaking Muslims are fighting now. We plan to abolish all the regional languages like Pashto, Sindhi, Baluchi, Urdu, Punjabi and Brahvi. We want all the people of Pakistan to speak Arabic which is our divine language. This will make everyone equal before everyone else and there would not be any need for language or region based reservations. We also hope that this will make the Quran and Hadiths easier to understand and will make the people follow the Quran and Hadiths to the letter.

Q: There could be language riots. One such language riot resulted in Bangladesh.

A: Bangladesh was not a result of language riot. The very idea that they are Muslims will bring the Bangladeshis to Arabic. We already fund heavily the Arabic language courses all over India, Bangladesh and Pakistan. From Morocco to Iraq speaks in Arabic, I don't see any reason why from Morocco to Burma we will not bring Arabic to the people. Even Bangladesh will start speaking in Arabic. That time there won't be any Bangladesh where the country name itself has the name of the language. Yes. Right now our aim is just for reunification without touching on the language issue of Bengali. JI of Bangladesh is working towards this aim.

Q: The secular journalists of Pakistan oppose the JI. For example the Dawn and News are very critical of the JI. How do

you see their role in the future?

A: Ardshahir Cowasjee is able to work only because of the present Constitution of Pakistan. When Sharia becomes the Constitution, he cannot even approach the court, as he is a kafir. We see secular journalists as our main enemy in the current struggle to reach power. These secular journalists are digging our statements we made during the pre-Independence days and trying to beat us. Of course we opposed the creation of Pakistan during the British times. But that was a different Jamaat. Our history starts with Independence.

SECULARS ARE KAFIRS

Secular journalists are not Muslims even though some of them are carrying Muslim names. Let them come to us and let us ask questions on the Quran and Hadiths. We will prove each and every thing we say is from Quran and Hadiths. Let them prove what we say as wrong from the Quranic angle. Then we will accept them. But they cannot. They cannot match us in any debate concerning the Quran and Hadiths. We can comprehensively prove that they are indeed non-Muslims.! They are like Quadianis who say that the Muslim need not take up Jihad as an obligation. That is pure nonsense.

Q: Thanks for your time.

A: May Allah's blessings be with you.

America's Values can

contribute to its Vulnerability

By Abdullah Al Araby

Recent news about the events surrounding Saddam Hussein's hanging has revealed interesting insights. Hours before his execution Saddam Hussein and his legal team desperately pleaded that he remains in the hands of the Americans. The Butcher of Baghdad knew that once he fell into the hands of his fellow Muslims, his chances of being executed with dignity for his crimes against humanity were non-existent. This proved to be true.

What Saddam experienced at his execution would have never been allowed had the Americans carried out the sentence. While the noose was being tightened around his neck and before he plummeted into eternity, he heard vindictive hecklers. They sneered that he was hell-bound, taunted him about the superiority of another religious-political leader and took camera phone footage of his final agonies. Even a murderous dictator deserves an execution in an atmosphere of civil dignity rather than that of a barbarous carnival.

Hopefully, this article will help those who read it to wake up from their naïve slumbers about the mindset of Islamists. Virtues such as religious tolerance, democratic equality for all, prohibitions against cruel and unusual punishments and kindness to one's enemies are not perceived as admirable strengths. The Muslim mindset is that such are evidences of weakness that present opportunities to invade and conquer American society with ease. The following statements by influential Muslims expose the mindset of Islamists.

▪ Libyan dictator Moammar Gaddaafi – ***“Our confrontation***

with America used to be like confronting a fortress from outside. Today, we have found a loophole to enter the fortress and confront it from within."

- The same theme is emphasized by a comment made by a Muslim to Archbishop Giuseppe Bernardini of Izmir. – ***"Thanks to your democratic laws we will invade you; thanks to our (Islamic) religious laws we will dominate you."***
- Again, an ex-Muslim, knowledgeable of the mindset of Islamists summed up Islamists' new strategy. ***"We will use American kindness, fairness, compassion, freedom of speech and non-discriminatory policy against us. We will then stab America in the back."***

In the early stages of Islam, there was no need for diplomatic subtleties to enable the Muslim hordes to make ever-advancing conquests. The surrounding weak, corrupt and divided empires could not withstand Islamic fervor. The first Islamic wave raged through the countries of the Middle and Far East destroying Byzantine Empire and advanced northwestward into Spain and Portugal. Their invasion of France was not repelled until they got within 125 miles of Paris. The Ottoman Empire initiated the second wave in the 17th century. It successfully invaded and occupied several Eastern Europe nations and was not stopped until they reached the gates of Vienna.

Between the 12th and 19th centuries Islam underwent reversals. The ignorant backwardness, superstitions and gender and religious prejudices of Islam are too much for any culture to bear without dire consequences. The societies that they had conquered lost the intellectual and scientific momentum that they'd enjoyed prior to Islam. Subsequently, those nations slipped into corruption and poverty. In recent history the discovery of oil in the Arab Middle East has reenergized Islam's ambitions to conquer the world for Allah and Mohammad.

However, since they don't have the military might that they once did, they're now obligated to devise cleverly subtle

methods to influence powerful democracies such as the United States. They've found a loophole that will work in their favor. It's our democratic laws that ensure freedom of speech, religious tolerance and equal rights for aliens as well as American citizens. Those laws give them the liberty to advance the Islamic agenda in order to gain the political strength needed to attempt to override those laws and Islamize our entire government and culture.

We must credit the Islamists with having a strategic ability to adapt from their ancient ways of military conquest to today's more intelligent and sophisticated methods. They patiently studied American society and discovered that it was best to target what they perceived to be our weak points. Strangely, for them it's the basic code of ethics that rules America.

They fully understand our Judeo-Christian foundation. They're well aware that though America has been a symbol of freedom and a force against tyranny from its inception, it has never used its military superiority to conquer weaker nations and grab their lands. On one hand many Islamists would give a right arm for the privilege of living in America and enjoying our way of life. On the other hand some of them harbor hate against us purely because they envy our prosperity, morals and global influence. Islam manipulates both sentiments. It uses our democratic laws to gain entrance to our country and uses Muslim petrol-dollars to fuel misinformation campaigns about us to those who already suspect, envy and hate America.

Today, one of the primary goals of Muslim activists is to win the hearts and minds of the American public. To do so they've temporarily hidden the overt Jihad edge of their Sword. But they've found another weapon at their disposal the instant they reached our shores. Many were trained in wielding that new weapon prior to embarking to America. It enables them to effectively lure new converts into Islam's web. The tool that empowers Muslim activist to advance the Islamic agenda against

us is our historically lenient immigration policies and liberal laws. Such could turn out to be the cause of America's vulnerability

America's respect for the law

Americans respect the law. If the law permits a person to do a certain thing, we uphold their right to do so regardless of how damaging their ulterior motives might be to the welfare of our nation. The United States allows Islamists to operate freely within the legal system. There is no need for them to break or to contradict our laws to achieve their takeover goals. They do this, as the Islamic activist Dr Muzamil Siddiqi puts it, "without disturbing or violating the constitution of the U.S.A."

The Law is the most potent weapon used by Islamists. CAIR (Council on American-Islamic Relations) is an Islamic organization that retains a massive team of lawyers. They sue anybody who dares to say something that can be construed as objectionable about Islam. Under threat of lawsuits, companies often yield to their demands and settle out of court for whatever amounts they demand. The result is that we've seen people losing their jobs because they touched Islam. Talk-show host Michael Graham was suspended-without-pay for describing Islam as a "terrorist organization" on his program. Popular syndicated radio hosts Dr. Laura Schlesinger and Paul Harvey were forced to make on-air apologies for comments against Islam.

There are other weapons in America that they are finding handy:

America's tolerance for other philosophies and religions

America is a haven for followers of all beliefs. Ironically, those whom it strives to protect often attack America. In such instances our hands are tied by our high sense of moral obligations to our principles of freedom. The kinsmen of our

overseas enemies reside in the USA. Due to their stateside protests we refrain from using our military superiority to completely annihilate our opponents on the soil of their homelands.

America's freedom of religion

America values freedom of religion established as the cornerstone of the American way of life. Even those who have unusually weird and even destructive beliefs can find fellow believers among our population. Strangely, we tolerate those who despise and oppose the traditional spiritual values that most Americans embrace.

America's freedom of expression

Men who wanted to protect Americans from religious oppression established the Constitution and its Bill of Rights. Those documents give citizens the right to express themselves as they please. Our free speech policies even protect those who bash our President and criticize our nation that shelters them and gives them financial assistance.

America's Democracy

Islam never believed in democracy as we know it. Its Sharia law is based mainly on religious autocracy. An Islamic ruler is called a ***Khalifa*** (successor of Mohammed). Such is unopposed and rule by a mandate from Allah. Post the demise of the Ottoman Empire, Muslims have not been successful in establishing a ***Khilafah*** government. Nonetheless, it remains their dream to do so.

While they are unable to rule in the full Islamic manner, they don't mind utilizing governmental systems that will permit them a measure of success to reach their goal. The newest tool they've found is American democracy that the USA is pushing throughout the Middle East. Democracy has been a convenient tool to position Islamists in prominent roles of civil

authority and judicial power.

In the January 2006 Palestinian Legislative Council elections, **Hamas** won 74 out of 132 seats. The ruling Fatah party won only 45, and the three liberal parties won a total of six seats.

In Lebanon **Hizballah** won a significant number of seats and is holding several cabinet positions. They are demanding more with threats of protests by hundreds of thousands of Muslim zealots that could topple the government.

In Egypt, in 2005 election, the **Muslim Brotherhood** successfully won 88 seats. That is 19% of Egypt's total of 454 parliamentary seats. In contrast, the liberal opposition parties won a combined total of fewer than ten seats. The Brotherhood could have done even better if it wasn't for a crack down on polling places by the Egyptian security forces which resulted in the death of 11 voters.

Other Middle Eastern countries such as Yemen, Kuwait, Jordan and Morocco show similar trends.

In America, Muslims find our free election process a convenient tool to elect officials who favor Islam. In a democracy small but vocal voting blocks can greatly influence elections. Muslims frequently use their voting power to elect officials who will meet their demands in spite of the desires of less vocal non-Muslim Americans. In the November 2006 election, Keith Ellison, was the first Muslim elected to the Congress of the United States

America's advanced media

Muslims know that they live in the home of the World's most advanced media. They are using it to their full advantage. They started by running expensive advertisements in major newspapers and magazines and on TV and radio stations. Now, they are even trying to buy huge shares in those media outlets. Saudi Prince Al-Waleed bin Talal purchased 5.46% of

the Fox Corporation, according to Gulf Daily News. This raised concerns that the conservative Fox News might soften its anti-terror stance due to the views of the new shareholder.

Without the virtues we've discussed, America would cease to be America. I am not suggesting here that America abandons its virtues or even revise them. However, I do hope to draw attention to America's pressing need. We need to develop mechanisms that will close the loopholes that our enemies are using to gain dominance in our country. They are penetrating our defenses and damaging the foundations of our culture by deviously applying the virtues that made this country great.

Our legal system protects them on one hand and, on the other, gives them the ammunition to intimidate their opponents. They use American open mindedness and tolerance to manipulate people's thinking and to dominate their minds. Our freedom of religion and freedom of expression are used to openly advocate the Islamization of America. They use democracy to attain political clout and positions of authority. America's advanced media is their primary weapon to immunize the masses against the dangers of Islam.

Make no mistake about it. Most of our Judeo-Christian values are absolutely incompatible with Islamic Sharia law. If Islamists are able to attain positions that empower them to decide America's form of government, many of the values that we treasure are history.

American values are like a ladder, Islamists use it to enter and conquer the American fortress. After reaching their goal, they will kick the ladder away.

The Islamization of Europe

America, take note before it's too late

By Abdullah Al Araby

It has been said, "Those who ignore the lessons of history are bound to repeat them." Another famous quote says, "History repeats itself." The moral is that people often have short memories. Whether we observe bad things happening to other nations or experience such ourselves we seldom learn the needed lessons. As time passes, all is forgotten and the same mistakes are repeated, over and over again.

When the topic of the serious ramifications of the Islamic invasion of America comes up, few people register sufficient concern. They underestimate the potential threat because they view the USA's current Muslim population of 1%-2% as insignificant. The danger is that, like some European nations, we will wait till the percentage figures doubles, triples or even quadruple before it dawns on us that we have a problem. Our government and our entire population would be wise to look, listen and learn from what countries in Europe are suffering as the result of unchecked Islamic population growth. If we don't heed the warnings that their problems represent, we will be sentenced to duplicating them.

There are many similarities between life in America and that in the Christian countries of Europe. The difference is the homelands of Muslims are much closer geographically to Europe and therefore the immigration invasions began much earlier. The Muslim population of Europe is now estimated to be around twenty million strong. That represents about 5% of Western Europe's total inhabitants. France's Islamic population presently ranks as the highest as it has raced to about 10%. The price tag for naively permitting that degree of growth has proven to have dire consequences.

QUEST FOR DOMINION

Naive and goodhearted Americans often complain and cite various reasons for rejecting any suggestion that the growth of Islam should be a point of concern. They figure, why criticize other religions? Why can't we all just live and let live? Surely, civilized societies should pride themselves on tolerance towards those of other beliefs and grant freedom of religion to all citizens. No one should care about how or what others worship, as long as they worship peacefully. Another test is whether or not a religion infringes on the rights of others. Islam misses on both counts. It's not peaceful because at various junctures it infringes on the rights of others to worship as they choose. Once it gains dominance, it is totally intolerant of other religions.

Such concerns might be invalid if they pertained to adherents of most any world religion, other than Islam. The thing that makes Islam different is the fact that it is more than a religion. Islam is a political, social, and economic way of life, cloaked in religious garments. Islam's strict observances and regulations are imposed on all Muslims and affect every area of their lives. These shape their attitudes and responses towards those of other religions. Full compliance brings the promises of rewards in this life and in the hereafter. Noncompliance dictates harsh punishments both here and in eternity. As a result many Muslims are willing to kill and to die for the cause of religious fervor. These factors, combined with Islam's inherent tendency to violence, make it difficult for it to co-exist in society with other religions.

Islam is never satisfied to be one religion among many. It has to be supreme; the one and only. "the (only) religion (acceptable) before God is Islam." Surah 3:19 Within Islam, freedom of religion is never an option. Allah says, "If anyone desires a religion other than Islam, never will it be accepted

of him." Surah 3: 85

Domination is the name of the game. Islam's objective is to obtain influence superior to that of all other religious groups. Its long-term agenda inevitably includes complete dominion over a nation. In free societies, as in America, they make no effort to hide such agendas. Omar M. Ahmed, chairman of the board of CAIR (Council on American- Islamic Relations) said once at a banquet, "I urge Muslims not to shirk their duty of sharing the Islamic faith with those who are on the wrong side." He added, "If you choose to live here, you have a responsibility to deliver the message of Islam. *Islam isn't here to be equal to any other faith but to become dominant.*"

The plan for the conquest and domination of Europe has been at work for some time. Giuseppe Bernardini, the 72 year old Italian Archbishop of the archdiocese of Izmir, Turkey, said something startling. "The dominion has already begun with the petrodollars, used not to create work in the poor North African or Middle Eastern countries, but to build mosques and cultural centers in Christian countries with Islamic immigration, including Rome, the center of Christianity."

Perhaps, there might be some European Muslims who are simply satisfied to enjoy the prosperity afforded them in their adopted countries and therefore don't mind accepting the status quo. However, there is a host of others who strive to see the traditionally Christian societies that welcomed them conform to the rigorous demands of Islamic society. The result is that Europe is undergoing a gradual transformation into a society in which Islam is not just equal to other faiths; it is the dominant player. This may not even be merely dependent upon increasing numerical strength. It may be solely driven by Islamist's innate desire to control societies. It is a strategy of Islamic leaders that is carefully thought out and concisely executed.

THE ISLAMIC INVASION OF EUROPE

Muslim Arabs were invited to Europe from Africa and Asia in the 1950's and 1960's to meet the labor shortages of Western Europe's booming postwar economies. Subsequently, Muslim refugees seeking political asylum to better their lives drastically increased those numbers. The trend continued into the 1970's. The waves of Muslim immigrating from North Africa and the Middle East began to change the demographics of Europe. Muslim birthrates soared. According to U.N. statistics, the Islamic population of Europe doubled between 1989 and 1998, representing approximately 2% of the population. That number had again more than doubled by 2005, representing about 5% of all Europeans.

TROUBLED FRANCE

France has the largest concentrations of Muslims in Europe, about 10% of the total population. Its situation is a clear example of the influence of Islam on European societies. The French actress, Brigitte Bardot, laments the Islamization of France in her book, "A Scream in Silence". A court accused her of "presenting Muslims as barbaric and cruel invaders, responsible for terrorist acts and eager to dominate the French to the extent of wanting to exterminate them." She states in her book, "There are many new languages in new Europe; mediocrity is taking over from beauty and splendor. There are many people who are filthy, badly dressed and badly shaven."

France has about 5 Million Muslims. The younger generations of French Muslims seem to have a problem with identity. About half of them are almost indistinguishable from other non-Muslims. However, the other half poses a serious problem for France. They don't view themselves as French and they also reject identifying with their families. They see themselves solely as Muslims. About 70,000 young Muslim women are

subjected to forced marriages every year. Every year, 35,000 girls undergo Female Genitalia Mutilation/circumcision, or are under threat of the same.

You can't miss seeing Islam's influence if walking along many French streets. Parts of Paris look and sound more like an Arab country than they do European. Marseilles is racing towards becoming the first European city with a non-European majority.

The future is not promising. It is estimated that within 25 years, one in four residents of France will practice Islam. In an effort to maintain France's traditional character, its government is strongly asserting the nation's secularism. In doing so, a fierce struggle with the Islamists has ensued. Towards that goal, parliament banned Muslim women from wearing Islamic scarves (hijab) in public institutions. This decision is causing uproar from Muslims, not only in France, but all over the world.

France is Burning

Night after night, youthful Muslims blitzed through the outskirts of the poorer sections of French cities. From late October and into November of 2005 much of France was set aflame by Muslim rioters aged 13-24. At least 350 cities were attacked. Police intelligence sources indicate that the Jihadists minded gangs plan to import the mayhem to the main streets of France's primary cities, including Paris. Unquestionably, the authorities lost control of the nation and a form of marshal-law was enacted to restore some semblance of order. The sparks from those riots ignited embers of Islamic discontent in neighboring Belgium and Germany.

The riots were triggered by the deaths of two Muslim teenagers who were attempting to elude the police and were accidentally electrocuted in a poor suburb of Paris. The violence subsequently spread to other areas of France. . More than

6,400 vehicles were torched and one man has been killed. More than 1,600 rioters were arrested. Schools, government buildings and churches were firebombed by the predominantly Muslim gangs. Though it was portrayed as race riots caused by official discrimination, it was in fact nothing less than a French intifada by French Muslims against France. Historically, France has been the most accommodating and liberal country in Europe towards Muslim immigrants. Its reward is exactly that which I have depicted in this volume, civil troubles. Innately Islam, the Quran and Islamic policies are predisposed to attempt to rule any nation that is foolish enough to extend overly liberal policies to them.

Unfortunately, this is not likely to be an ugly isolated and passing incident. The riots bear every indication of being the initial skirmishes of what will turn into a long war. These Muslim bands might retreat; if so, it will only be temporarily. They will likely fervently regroup later under the banners of experienced Islamic terrorists who will gladly inspire them.

EUROPE IS BECOMING EURABIA

Bat Ye'or is a history expert on the subject of "dhimmitude;" how Islam treated the People of the Book, Christians and Jews, throughout history. She originated the term "Eurabia" to underscore the growing influence of Islam in Europe. In a recent article titled, "How Europe Became Eurabia," she states:

"Beyond a fleeting awareness, the overwhelming majority of Europeans and Americans do not understand the new Eurabian entity, which is only the first step in a steady progression toward its Arabization and Islamization. Europe has evolved from a Judeo-Christian civilization, with important post-enlightenment/secular elements, to a 'civilization of dhimmitude,' i.e. Eurabia: a secular-Muslim transitional

society with its traditional Judeo-Christian more rapidly disappearing.”

The Islamization of Europe becomes more obvious as time goes by. Thanks to the Saudi funds, beautiful mosques are erected in large cities. Many Imams and staff personnel of those mosques are paid by Saudi funds. All over Europe, the picture is not pretty:

- Sweden’s third largest city is Malmo and for all intents and purposes is ruled by violent Muslim gangs. Some of its Muslim residents have lived there as long as 20 years and still cannot read or write Swedish. Muslims succeeded into turning a first world city into another third world, like the countries they came from.
- Britain’s Muslim population is estimated to be between 1.6 and 3 million. A substantial segment of young Muslims in the United Kingdom does not identify with Britain, but only with Islam. Such young men were behind the terrorist attack of July 7, 2005. In some areas that have large concentrations, Muslims are targeting churches for arson and their clergymen for physical attacks. The objective is to cleanse such areas of any non-Muslim presence. Muslims are demanding that names of local districts be changed from traditional British names to Arabic Muslim names.
- Spain’s Muslims have expressed their plan to recapture the Mosque of Cordoba. The building was originally a church that was turned into a mosque after the Islamic conquest to Spain. After the Muslim invaders were put to flight, it again became a Catholic cathedral.
- Reports from Italy state that doctors are treating Muslim wives who have been flogged by their Muslim husbands.
- In Finland, some imams preach on the Islamic duty to kill Muslims who convert to other religions.
- The Netherlands has recently turned from tolerance of

Islam to the exact opposite. The change of mind followed the brutal killing of the Dutch filmmaker Theo van Gogh by a young Muslim in November 2004.

The preceding were just a few glimpses from the big picture of present-day Europe's landscape. The indications are that what was once tranquil and peaceful Europe is beginning to boil. The fire is fueled by the undisputed ultimate goal of Muslims to Islamize Europe.

Some Christians dismiss concerns about the possibility of Europe becoming Islamic before the end of the century as unrealistic and pessimistic. It must be remembered, however, that most Islamic countries of the Middle East were once Christian. Christianity was completely eradicated from many of these countries, while some still have just a symbolic presence. It is rather naive to presume that the same could not happen to Europe.

There is a lesson that America must learn from Europe's experiences with Islam. Surely, it is indicative for us to understand something. That which is happening to our brothers and sisters across the Atlantic is subtly in progress at this moment in America.

The Quran's Doctrine of Abrogation

Prepared by Abdullah Al Araby

In an attempt to polish Islam's image, Muslim activists usually quote verses from the Quran that were written in the

early days of the Islamic movement while Mohammed lived in Mecca. Those passages make Islam appear loving and harmless because they call for love, peace and patience. Such is a deception. The activists fail to tell gullible people that such verses, though still in the Quran, were nullified, abrogated, rendered void by later passages that incite killing, decapitations, maiming, terrorism and religious intolerance. The latter verses were penned while Mohammed's headquarters was based in Medina.

When speaking with people of Christianized/Western societies, Muslim activists deliberately hide a major Islamic doctrine called "al-Nasikh wal-Mansoukh" (the Abrogator and the Abrogated). This simply means that in situations wherein verses contradict one another, the early verses are overridden by the latter verses. The chronological timing in which a verse was written determines its authority to establish policies within Islam. Non-Muslims cannot afford to be ignorant about the full implications of the *Abrogator and the Abrogated Doctrine (al-Nasikh wal-Mansoukh)*. When Islamic spokesmen say that Islam is a religion of peace and that the Quran does not support such things as human rights infractions, gender bias and terrorism, they are lying. This means that the Western politicians and liberal journalists, who continually spout that Islam is a noble religion of peace, are in reality propagating a deception that they have been deceived into parroting.

This presents problems for naïve people who are not familiar with Islam and the Quran. They don't know that the surahs/chapters of the Quran are not arranged in chronological order in regard to the timing in which they were written. Therefore an activist who is out to deceive them can turn to various places throughout the Quran and read verses that sound peaceful, tolerant, reasonable and loving. The impression is that the entire Quran promotes peace, love, equality and tolerance for all. That is far from the truth. Most Muslims

fully understand that the few Quranic verses that seemingly promote equality, peace and justice are more often than not overridden/ nullified by later verses that validate such things as terrorism and legalistic restrictions on routine human and women's rights.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE ABROGATOR AND THE ABROGATED IN THE QURAN (AL Nasikh Waḷ Mansoukh)

This doctrine is based on two verses that Allah allegedly instructed Mohammed to put into the Quran.

"None of Our revelations do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but We substitute something better or similar: Knowest thou not that Allah Hath power over all things?" Surah 2: 106

"When We substitute one revelation for another, and Allah knows best what He reveals (in stages), they say, "Thou art but a forger": but most of them understand not." Surah 16:101

The documentation for the information that I am offering in this piece is found in one of Islam's classical reference books in the Arabic language. It is titled "*al-Nasikh wal-Mansoukh*" (The Abrogator and the Abrogated) and was authored by the revered Muslim scholar *Abil-Kasim Hibat-Allah Ibn-Salama Abi-Nasr*. The book goes through every Surah (chapter) in the Quran and cites in great detail every verse that was cancelled-out/overridden by particular verses that were written later. The author noted that out of 114 Surahs (chapters) of the Quran, there are only 43 Surahs that were not affected by this concept. The implications are very revealing. It means that those who would be inclined to accept the Quran as reliable can take only 43 chapters of the Quran at face value. The majority of its chapters cannot be taken at

face value. The cancelled verses are mixed in with the authoritative verses and only schooled Islamist know which is which.

The following are English translation excerpts from the reference book's original Arabic

THREE KINDS OF ABROGATION:

1) Verses in which both the wording and application were abrogated/nullified.

There is an example of this found in a narration by Ans Ibn Abdel Malik. He said that during the life of Mohammed, they used to read a Surah that was equal in size to that of Surah 9 (the repentance). He further stated that he only remembered one verse from that Surah/chapter. – “If the son of Adam has two valleys of gold he would covet to have a third one, if he has three he would covet to have a fourth one. Nothing would fill the belly of the son of Adam except dirt, and Allah would accept the repentance of those who repent.”

Another example is the narration of Ibn Abdullah Ibn Massoud. He said that Mohammed recited a verse for him that he memorized and wrote in his Quran. When he checked his Quran the following day, he discovered that the verse had disappeared. Mohammed explained what had happened to Ibn Massoud. He told him that the verse had been lifted during the previous day.

2) Verses in which the wording was abrogated (nullified) but the application was not.

These are verses wherein the wording was nullified, but the inferences/possible applications of those words remained intact.

There is an example of this form in a narration about Omar Ibn Al Khattab. He said, “If I didn't hate that people would say

we added to the Quran which was not part of it, I would have insisted in including the verse of stoning. By God we have recited it by the Apostle of God.”

3) Verses in which the application was abrogated (nullified), but the wording was not.

These are verses wherein the wording remained the same, but the authority to consider such in the formation of Islamic polices were nullified

There are sixty-three Surahs/chapters in the Quran that mention such things as praying in the direction of Jerusalem, regulations about fasting and the forgiveness that is available to polytheists

FOUR DEGREES OF ABROGATION

1. Surahs that were not influenced by applications of the doctrine of the Abrogator and the Abrogated. (43 Surahs)

Surahs 1, 12, 36, 49, 55, 57, 61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 77, 78, 79, 82, 83, 84, 85, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 108, 110, 112, 113, and 114

2. Surahs that maintained the authority of the Abrogator, but their original wording was not abrogated/nullified.(6 Surahs)

Surahs 48, 59, 63, 64, 65, and 87

3. Surahs that had their wording abrogated/nullified, but maintained their authority for applications. (40 Surahs)

Surahs 6, 7 10, 11, 13, 15 16 17, 18, 20, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 51, 53, 54, 60, 68, 70, 74, 75, 76, 77, 86, 80, 88, and 109

4. Surahs that have had both their authority for applications and their wording abrogated. (24 Surahs)

Surahs 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 33, 34, 40, 42, 51, 52, 56, 58, 73, 103, and 108

THE VERSE OF THE SWORD

The verse that Abrogated (nullified) the Peace Verses.

An example of the abrogation: There are 124 verses that call for tolerance and patience that have been cancelled and replaced by one, single verse. This verse is called the verse of the sword:

“But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, and seize them, beleaguer them, and lie in wait for them in every stratagem (of war)....”
Surah 9:5

Verses that support the verse of the Sword

1) “Fight them, and Allah will punish them by your hands, cover them with shame, help you (to victory) over them, heal the breasts of believers” (Surah 9:14).

2) “O ye who believe! Truly the Pagans are unclean; so let them not, after this year of theirs, approach the Sacred Mosque” (Surah 9:28).

3) “The Jews call ‘Uzayr a son of God, and the Christians call Christ the son of God. That is saying from their mouth; (in this) they but imitate the Unbelievers of old used to say. Allah’s curse be on them: how they are deluded away from the Truth!” (Surah 9:30).

4) “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them. Their abode is Hell – an evil refuge indeed” (Surah 9:73).

5) “O ye who believe! Fight the Unbelievers who gird you about, and let them find firmness in you: and know that Allah

is with those who fear Him” (Surah 9:123).

Some of the verses abrogated by the verse of the Sword:

1) “Those who believe (in the Qua’an), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord: on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve” (Surah 2:62).

2) “Quite a number of the People of the Book wish they could turn you (people) back to infidelity after ye have believed, from selfish envy, after the Truth hath become manifest unto them: but forgive and overlook, till Allah accomplish his purpose” (Surah 2:109).

3) “But because of their breach of their Covenant, We cursed them, and made their hearts grow hard; they change the words from their (right) places and forget a good part of the Message that was sent them, nor wilt thou cease to find them – barring a few – ever bent on (new) deceits: but forgive them, and overlook (their misdeeds): for Allah loveth those who are kind” (Surah 5:13).

4) “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement, and are deceived by the life of this world. But proclaim (to them) this (truth): that every soul delivers itself to ruin by its own acts: it will find for itself no protector or intercessor except Allah: if it offered every ransom, (or reparation), none will be accepted: such is (the end of) those who deliver themselves to ruin by their own acts: they will have for drink (only) boiling water, and for punishment, one most grievous: for they persisted in rejecting Allah” (Surah 6:70).

5) “But if the enemy incline towards peace, do thou (also) incline towards peace, and trust in Allah” (Surah 8:61)

6) “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book, except with means better (than mere disputation), unless it be with

those of them who inflict wrong (and injury); but say, 'We believe in the revelation which has come down to us and in that which came down to you; our God and your God is One; and it is to Him we bow (in Islam)' (Surah 29:46).

7) "And remember We took a covenant from the Children of Israel (to this effect): worship none but Allah" (Surah 2:83).

8) "Say: Will ye dispute with us about Allah, seeing that He is our Lord and your Lord; that we are responsible for our doings and ye for yours; and that we are sincere (in our faith) in Him?" (Surah 2:139)

9) "Fight in the cause of Allah those who fight you, but do not transgress limits; for Allah loveth not transgressors" (Surah 2:190)

10) "But fight them at the Sacred Mosque, unless they (first) fight you there" (Surah 2:191)

11) "But if they cease, Allah is Oft-Forgiving Most Merciful" (Surah 2:192).

12) "But there is no compulsion in religion" (Surah 2:256).

13) "So if they dispute with thee, say: 'I have submitted my whole self to Allah and so have those who follow me,' And say to the People of the Book and so to those who are unlearned: 'do ye (also) submit yourself? If they do, they are in right guidance, but if they turn back, thy duty is to convey the Message" (Surah 3:20).

14) "Let not the Believers take for friends or helpers unbelievers rather than believers; if any do that, in nothing will there be help from Allah: except by way of precaution, that ye may guard yourself from them" (Surah 3:28).

15) "Those men – Allah knows what is in their hearts; so keep clear of them, but admonish them, and speak to them a word to reach their souls" (Surah 4:63) .

16) "He who obeys the Messenger, obeys Allah: but if any turn away, we have not sent thee to watch over their (evil deeds)" (Surah 4:80).

17) "But Allah records their nightly (plots): so keep clear of them, and put thy trust in Allah" (Surah 4:81).

18) "Then fight in Allah's cause – thou art held responsible only for thyself" (Surah 4:84).

19) "Except those who join a group between whom and you there is a treaty (of peace), or those who approach you with hearts restraining them from fighting you as well as fighting their own people. If Allah had pleased, He could have given them power over you, and they would have fought you: therefore, if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and (instead) send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them)" (Surah 4:90).

20) "O ye who believe! Violate not the sanctity of the Symbols of Allah, nor of the Sacred Month" (Surah 5:2).

Other verses that were abrogated by the verse of the sword:

Surah 5: 99

Surah 6: 66; 104; 106- 108; 112; 135; 158

Surah 7:183; 199

Surah 10: 41, 46, 99, 108, 109

Surah 11: 121

Surah 13: 40

Surah 15: 3, 85, 88, 94

Surah 16: 82, 125, 127

Surah 17: 54

Surah 19: 84

Surah 20: 130, 135

Surah 22: 68

Surah 23: 54, 96

Surah 24: 54

Surah 28: 55

Surah 30: 60
Surah 32: 30
Surah 33:48
Surah 34: 25
Surah 39: 15
Surah 41: 34
Surah 42: 6, 15, 48
Surah 43: 83, 89
Surah 44: 59
Surah 45: 14
Surah 46: 35
Surah 50: 39
Surah 52: 48
Surah 53: 29
Surah 58: 8-9, 11
Surah 73: 10
Surah 76: 8
Surah 86: 17
Surah 88: 22- 24
Surah 109: 6

One cannot help but wonder; why was there a need for changes in the Quran, if it really contained God's words? If Allah is indeed all-powerful and all-knowing, why would he need to revise and correct himself so often?

THE ISLAMIZATION OF AMERICAN SCHOOLS

**THE
ISLAMIZATION
OF
AMERICAN SCHOOLS**

**A critique of the course and textbook on Islam taught to the
7th grade students in California Public Schools**



The Pen vs. The Sword

**P.O. Box 661336,
Los Angeles, CA 90066**

**First Printing
December, 2004**

This Booklet...

This book is not an attempt to attack Muslims, as the majority of Muslims who reside in our nation are peaceful and productive citizens. The intention is to oppose an ideology that is being forced on our children. It is our belief that the ideology of Islam is incompatible with the traditional Judeo-Christian values that gave us the American way of life.

This booklet is funded by the generous contributions of concerned citizens. You are invited to participate in this endeavor in order to print more copies and put them in the hands of students, parents and educators. Let the truth be known. Let's keep America free for all to enjoy.

Quotations of the Quran are from: The Noble Quran; By Abdullah Youssef Ali. <http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/>

Quotations of the Hadith are from: The Translation of the

Sahih Al-Bukhari; Muhammed Muslim Khan, Lahore, Kazi Publication, 1979.

Copyright © 2004 by The Pen vs. The Sword.

(Copyright of this booklet maybe gladly granted to those who request it in writing.)

First Printing

December, 2004

THE PEN vs. THE SWORD

P.O. Box 661336

Los Angeles, CA 90066

E-mail: TheTruth@aol.com

Printed in the United States of America

The Islamic Strategy for

The Islamization of American Schools

*“Schools are fertile grounds where
the seeds of Islam can be sowed
inside the hearts of non-Muslim students.
Muslim students should take ample advantage
of this opportunity and present to their
schoolmates the
beautiful beliefs of Islam.”*

From the Islamic website

www.dawanet.com/methods/publicschool.dawapublic.asp

WHAT IS AT STAKE?

THE COURSE

THE APPLICATION

THE TEXTBOOK

THE PACT OF OMAR

WHAT TO DO?