

Founder's Blend

By, Jim Croft

There's no denying that the Christian Bible and Islam's Quran contain similarities that both groups might wish didn't exist. Strong cases in favor of the following could be made from both volumes: Genocide, ethnic cleansing of conquered lands; mass beheadings; cruelty toward animals; gender bias against women; forced conscription of captive women for sexual purposes; flogging; stoning; limb amputation; bruising punishment of children; and the endorsement of slavery. However, there's an amazing difference in the fruit or the actual policies of the 2 religions when the respective founder's characters are blended into the equations.

Muslims are to assimilate the character, lifestyle, and practices of Mohammad and Christians are to do the same in regard to Jesus Christ of Nazareth. The founder's spirits are to become a shaken and stirred blend integrated into the personalities of their respective devotees. The results have stark contrasts that are verified worldwide every day.

The spirit and character of Mohammad blended with the violence and cruel policies spoken of in the Quran produce the previously listed detriments to mankind. The Spirit and character of Christ blended in produces ever-bettering reversals of the detrimental precepts found in the Bible. The bottom line is that Christ within generates a conscience that finds solace in mercy and benevolence. Mohammad within generates calloused conscience that's insensitive to the plight of the downtrodden.

Suspicion: Shariah Law Concept Hijacked From Jews

By Jim Croft

Anyone whose studied Islam knows that Mohammad was well acquainted with Jewish and Christian holy writ and concepts. Both religions predate Mohammadism on the Arabian Peninsula by hundreds of years. When the Jews returned from Babylonian captivity in 538BCE, some eventually settled there and established community synagogues. The Apostle Paul cut his eye teeth in Christian ministry in Arabia. (Gal 1:11). Islam was not invented till 610CE in Mecca.

The Quran has revised versions of Old Testament Bible stories and mentions Jesus more frequently than Mohammad. It's obvious that the hallucinating prophet leaned heavily on the established religions when concocting his own. He initially had high hopes that Jews and Christians would replace their respective faiths with his. He was enraged when they didn't, and moved his HDQ from Mecca to Medina.

This is when he turned into a nasty tempered theocrat who needed a religious device for clergy to control masses of adherents. My theory is that he and later leaders hijacked the concept of Talmudic Law from the Jews and titled it Shariah. Remember that the Pharisees of Jesus Day had add-on interpretations of the Torah that they used to control every aspect of people's lives. Jesus declared that these manmade traditions (takanot) made the Commands of God (Tanakh) to no effect. (Matt 15:1-3 Hebrew Version of Matthew, my paraphrase)

Talmudic Law was spawned by rabbis and priests during the 70 years of Babylonian Captivity. Upon their return to Israel from exile, it was developed further. By the time that Jesus came on the scene 400 years later, the rulings were so complex that they were hardly recognizable as having Torah roots. Talmudic tradition grew more strenuous in the following 600 years leading up to Mohammad's lifetime. Unquestionably, he encountered the concept through Arabia's Jews.

My suspicion is that he saw a copycat version of the Talmudic concept as a way for Islam's Caliphs, Imams, and Mullahs to keep Muslims under their thumbs. This hijacking produced Shariah Law. It's rightly the dread of Christian nations with its' spousal abuse, flogging, stoning, hand amputation, and beheading policies.

Jesus said the Pharisees would travel far to proselytize subjects to Talmudic Law who'd wind up twice the children of Hell as they. (Matt 23:15) Shariah creates Hell on earth for all concerned whether proponents, oppressed minorities, or jihad conquered nations.

[Muslim Guilt Trip Trojan Horse](#)

By Jim Croft

Marty Skinner asks: Syria has had a civil war for almost 5 YEARS. Why all the "refugees" NOW and why so all of a SUDDEN and why in such VAST NUMBERS?

With an Honors degree in History and a lifelong student of the

subject, I smell a rat. This is a highly organized, well oiled, mobilized invasion of Muslims and Jihadists into the Western World. It's been in their plan for a long time. Momar Gadhafi predicted and explicitly stated that Muslim domination of Europe would happen without a conventional war and he said it 30 years ago. 95% of these economic "refugees" many who have cellphones are men between the fighting ages of 20 and 40. Very few women and children from everything I've seen.

Odd that the 5 wealthiest Arab States including Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Bahrain and Kuwait are taking "no refugees" thanks and feel quite self righteous about it. No guilt what so ever? They are even laughing at us for doing so.

Ask yourself, why would Germany Belgium, Holland, France, Sweden and others want to destroy their own cultures from within? It doesn't make any sense? If this keeps up Europe will be burning daily within a very short few years if not months. Civil war in the streets between civilizations. Muslims vs Kefirs, that is to say, everyone who is not a Muslim.

Unfortunately, the reality is that Muslims are just not like any other immigrants. They don't want to assimilate, they want to set up separate enclaves and implement Sharia Law. Another problem is that while the civilized West rightly abhors violence, conversely Muslims daily display their love of violence. They live it and embrace it. In many Muslim countries public beheadings and stoning to death for adultery for example. It's a part of their culture precisely because Islam is, dare I say it, a death cult.

Islam is a supremacist, totalitarian, bigoted, fascist political ideology masquerading as a religion. It literally means "submission". The Quran MANDATES death for blasphemy, for adultery, for apostasy, for family honor, for being gay, Jewish or a Kafer as well as ten other "crimes" many not even considered to be so in the West. Death for drinking alcohol or

taking illegal drugs for example. Why let in vast numbers of these brainwashed people especially men of that age when past experience has already demonstrated the tragedy, not to mention the financial, social, and political costs of rampant multiculturalism in Europe. Ordinary citizens are against this immigration but strangely, their governments are not?

Someone or some organization is pulling some strings here is what I see. Is this invasion part of the New World Order's plan to depopulate the planet? Maybe there's not even any such an organization but it's all over U-tube and other social media. The major media are implicit in selling gullible citizens of the West the righteousness of the "refugees" cause and openly siding against Western culture.

One drowned child's picture in the right places sparks outrage and sympathy world wide for the movement and resettlement of vast numbers of Muslims. However the implementation of Sharia Law, No Go Zone ghettos in most countries in Europe and Muslim rape gangs go unreported. In radical Islamist countries honor killings, beheadings, stoning's, cutting off limbs, whipping and torture, pedophilia, child bride marriages, rape and misogyny go unreported DAILY and are dismissed as culturally ingrained.

Where is the indignity and the outrage over people doing this every day to their own populations? Yet a staged picture a drowned baby on a beach sparks a world outcry? Muslim birthrates are 8 children per family while Europeans average 1.4. When these current millions bring in their multiple wives, children and extended families 85% of whom live on state benefits (England's experience) you can multiply their number by at least 10x, maybe 20x or even more.

By 2050 Europe will be Muslim dominated just by demographics alone. When their numbers are sufficient they will legally vote in their own kind and then Sharia Law. Europe as we know it will be lost forever. Two thousand years of civilization

will be destroyed by the same fanatical bearded, bigoted, brutal, boneheaded, belligerent bastards who are now slaughtering their own kind and blowing up ancient and irreplaceable world heritage buildings, monuments, books, manuscripts and other historically significant art treasures in Iraq, Syria and other conquered territories.

Canada (America) should not get sucked into this quagmire of political correctness just to show how polite, civilized, politically correct and Canadian we are. We should learn a lesson from our Australian counterparts.

Islam's Tendency to Spawn Violence

By, Jim Croft

In November 2003, Abdullah Al Araby of Islamreview.com and I wrote an article questioning the wisdom of the USA attempting to establish democracy amongst Muslims in the Mediterranean and Middle East regions. This piece will revisit some of the points made and will introduce other factors. It will call attention to the prevalence of Muslim violence on non-Muslims and fellow Muslims.

Previous Administration

In 2003, President Bush made several speeches to give basis for the invasion of Iraq. In one of the speeches he made a statement that revealed that he and his foreign-affairs advisors did not grasp the significant differences between the cultures and worldviews of those living in Islamic-controlled

nations and those living in free democracies of the West. "It should be clear to all that Islam – the faith of one-fifth of humanity – is consistent with democratic rule."

The response from Iran's The Islamic Republic News Agency reflects the sentiments of many nations with heavy Islamic populations. "No individual, or group, has ever commissioned Mr. Bush to safeguard their rights."

Contrary to Bush's assertion, there is nothing consistent with democratic rule in the historical principles exemplified in the sayings and actions of Mohammed and that which is written in his Koran.

The politically correct posture asserts a number of inaccurate depictions of the realities in nations where Islam is activated in attempting to maintain or gain the upper-hand.

Islam does not endorse democracy. To the contrary, it demands religious state governments in which politicians and clerics work hand-in-hand. The end objective is to insure that every person residing in the country adheres to the dictates of Islam whether they are Muslims or not.

Without question, democracy is not the easiest form of government to establish. This is particularly true in Muslim situations where leaders do not have high tolerance for opposition. Most do not want to trouble themselves with the necessity of using the gentle powers of persuasion and voting ballots to get their policies approved by the citizens and elected officials.

For any who would question this, I give you Egypt, Syria and Iraq just for starters. Nothing we have tried to fix has remained fixed. There is an old adage: If you expend you time and resources trying to fix a fix that people don't want fixed; you'll wind up having to fix, fix after fix.

Our government has given billions in foreign aid to buy the cooperation of Islamic States and billions more rebuilding the

infrastructure of Muslim nations damaged in the War on Terror. The thought was that the expenditures would reap loyalty. It did not.

Islamists have no loyalties to infidels and to the USA, the great Satan. Even their loyalties to fellow Muslims are short-lived in the face of doctrinal differences.

Arab Proverb Confirms Biblical Prophecy

There are two ancient sayings that partially explain why democracy is difficult to establish where Islam has strong influence. The first is a prophetic utterance from the book of Genesis pertaining to the descendants of Ishmael who would become known as Arabs: The Angel of the Lord told Hagar of her son, Ishmael, "He will be a wild donkey of a man; his hand will be against everyone and everyone's hand against him, and he will live in hostility toward all his brothers". (Gen. 16:12)

This falls into line with the second, an ancient Arab proverb: "I against my brothers; I and my brothers against my cousins; I and my cousins against the world". No one who keeps up with world affairs and human right infractions would question the accuracy of the 2 statements.

It is my conviction that the sayings are activated by the negative spiritual dynamics of Islam rather than a genetic curiosity resident in all Arabs. The Christian Arabs of the world demonstrate no evidence of the prophecy's and the proverb's imprints on their lives. However, whenever Islam is embraced by any nationality, including Arabs, significant portions begin to demonstrate the predicted behaviors indicative of the ancient sayings.

Hostility toward Muslim brothers – Within nations that have

significant Muslim populations, the major culprit causing the disruptions of national peace is not America or other outside influences. It is the factions within Islam. The doctrinal differences between Sunni, Wahabi, Shiite, Shia, and secular Muslims are volatile. These cause more bloodshed than any attempts to bring democracy ever have. The fact is that these inner-Islam conflicts routinely incite Muslims to butcher more Muslims annually than the total number of Muslim deaths inflicted by Coalition Forces in Afghanistan and Iraq combined.

In addition, Shariah Law defies the concepts of human rights, equal rights for women and the humane treatment of those charged with crimes. We have all seen the televised documentaries. Women are flogged and shot if it is alleged that they are guilty of adultery. They are beaten for not wearing head coverings (hijabs) and/or full-length burkas in public. The hands of thieves are publically amputated. Muslims who are charged with apostasy for converting to other religions are subject to governmental execution and some are often honor-killed by their own family members. Islamic authorities have cultivated a blind-eye-policy toward the practice of slavery and violations of internationally accepted child-labor laws. The lists goes on and on in regard to Islam's habitual human rights infractions.

Hostility toward non-Muslims – At this moment, the US Government State Department has 35 nations on the travel warning list advising US citizens against travel. Out of the 35 restricted countries, 23, 65% are States where Muslims are attempting to deepen their influence. The Islamist radicals, and in many instances the supposed moderate Muslims, are physically attacking resident Christians; the citizens from Western Democracies who labor in their nations; and foreign travelers. It is not out of character for Islamists to bomb hotels and to ransack the embassies of democratic countries.

There are two primary factors that contribute to these

realities, the nature of religion of Islam and the cultural tribalism/sheikdoms that exist in every nation of the Middle East and Mediterranean regions.

The Islamic principles that demand that clerics and government officials work hand-in-hand, is complicated and intensified by the region's history of authoritative sheikdoms. Even if Islam were not a factor, the cultural tribalism/sheikdoms would be.

Western politicians simply do not comprehend the measure of competition, mistrust, and age-long animosities that exists between the various tribes, warlords, and sheiks. If a member of one tribe/clan was democratically elected, it would be unlikely for the members of other tribes to trust him or to see any need to comply with his policies. When Islam is inserted into the mix, a western form of democracy has little chance of survival.

This is true because the people have religious and cultural philosophical predispositions for autocratic forms of government. Reminiscent of the sheiks and tribal warlords, ruthless men like Assad of Syria, Morsi of Egypt, the pirates of Somalia and the Ayatollahs of Iran are free to set up tyrannical regimes. Some have a tendency to establish ruling dynasties for successive generations of their families.

For universal appeal, they call their governments by all sorts of democratic sounding names. However, in Muslim controlled situations that boast parliamentary representation, populations are actually often controlled by the whims of the family heads.

In many cases the voting process is mired with falsifications to guarantee the results of an election even before it begins. They rule by fear and any who oppose them are apt to find themselves subjected to imprisonment, torture and death.

To Bring About Change

Priceless American blood and multiplied billions of \$s have been spent in Iraq and Afghanistan. Once we gave hint that US forces were pulling out, the age-old conflicts between Muslim sects and clans began to resurface. It is apparent that there is something in Muslim spiritual and political DNA that makes them resistant to accepting alternative forms of government.

At the risks of sounding calloused, Muslims have slaughtered one another from time immemorial. It might be best to permit them to do what they relish, unless America's security is under proven threat. In that event, we can go in and do what we must do with thoroughness and then leave without any sense of obligation to rebuild infrastructures destroyed by self-induced conflict.

The indications are that it will likely take years before the general populations of those nations will have a philosophical base to desire democracy. The entire Islamic world needs generations of education, higher literacy rates, and opportunities to objectively compare the progress of Western nations to the lack of the same in Islamic States. Muslims must awaken to the realization that massive modifications are a must, if they want constructive roles in the 21st Century.

It is my conviction that we should use every peaceful pressure to persuade them to grant their citizens freedom of religion. If Christianity is given the opportunity to function, it can imprint substantial segments of the populations with an innate understanding of freedom. Unlike Islam, it rejects violence as anything other than a last resort. Cultivating the mind of Christ fosters understanding of the freedom that yearns for democracy.

Veiled Hate Speech

Written by Jim Croft

There are calls circulating to deepen hate speech laws to include criticisms of religions. If passed, Christians would be denied free expression of biblical views about aberrant sexual practice and religions that are not viable paths to salvation. Church services, publications, TV programming, emails, and texting will likely come under close governmental monitoring.

The current U.S. political climate is most definitely anti-Christian with favoritism toward Islam. If the expansion of the law is proposed and passed, any degree of criticism of Islam, Mohammed or the Koran in public forums will be prosecutable offenses. This begs the question, what about Islam's hate speech and actions against Christians and Jews.

The myth is that Christians are hostile and intolerant and that Muslims are peaceful and tolerant. Nothing could be further from the truth. One of the many signs that confirm this is the difference between what Muslims and Christians pray in regard to those not of their religion.

Each time that Christians gather, we are to offer thanksgiving for all people and prayers that they might come to faith in Christ. (1Tim 2:1-4) Prayers of this focus are also encouraged in private devotions. The New Testament forbids Christians from retaliation. When we are persecuted and evil is spoken against us, we are to bless and are not to call down God's vengeance on our antagonists. .

Muslim Prayers = Hate Speech

Most believers are aware that Muslims pray 5 times daily, but few have a clue about the content of the prayers. Each prayer session is initiated by ablution washing and has times of kneeling and bowing while praying 17 specific recitations based on the Koran that must be spoken in Arabic. *Salat* is the term for the daily prayers and each of 17 segments is called a *rakat*.

It takes approximately 2 hours per day to fulfill the Islamic prayer requirement. Each of the 5 daily sessions includes *rakat* about being kept from the paths of hypocrites and those who have gone astray that have earned Allah's wrath.

Portions of Islamic prayer that mention hypocrites; those who have gone astray; and those who deserve Allah's wrath are recognized by Muslims as based on the Koran. It is comparable to Christian recognition that the phrase, "for God so loved the world" comes from Jn 3:16. The question is, who are the people deserving of Allah's wrath.

The hypocrites are Christians. Those who have gone astray are Jews. When devout Muslims pray, they know the identity of the 2 groups though it is veiled to non-Muslims who are not familiar with Arabic and the Koran.

Verses in the Koran's 62nd Surah (chapter) refer to the people of the Torah (Jews) as wretched asses that deserve death for their claim of being Allah's chosen people. There are verses in the 63rd Surah that describe Christians as lying hypocrites that have taken oaths to avoid allegiance to Islam.

The mention of oaths is in reference to confessions of Christ at conversion. The Koran prescribes that Christians are to be regarded as enemies that cannot be forgiven for rejecting Islam. Their destruction is called for by the hands of Allah. All too often, his hands are interpreted to be that of Muslim jihadists.

Moderate Muslims

Much to do is made about the premise that only a small percentage of the world's 2 billion Muslims are radicals. The claim is that most are harmless moderates. Let us consider that notion.

Most people would agree that frequently spoken words shape attitudes. It is much more so, if the words are uttered in the context of spiritual devotion. Muslims who have prayed the inflammatory *rakat* 5 times daily over a lifetime cannot help cultivating elements of the mindset conveyed by the spirit and intents behind what they are saying. This would dictate that at least at the subliminal level, vast numbers of Muslims have adversarial attitudes toward Christians and Jews.

The contempt contained in the prayers is often played out in the streets of the world at the slightest hint that any aspect of Islam has been insulted. Curiously, news clips of the demonstrations feature crowds far larger than representative of the alleged small percentage of radicals. At times the riots fill civic squares with hundreds of thousands.

If the high percentage of moderates is accurate, of necessity there would have to be many of them participating. Supposed moderates are in the mix screaming mean-spirited slogans; looting and burning homes and businesses; and physically assaulting Christians.

Also consider this: If only 50% of the global Muslim population is faithful to mandatory prayer; hate speech innuendo prayers are repeated billions of times daily. Hopefully, exposure of these realities will motivate readers to get this information to Congressional representatives before they vote on any proposed hate speech legislation that has veiled bias against Christians.

Righteousness Demands Fairness

How Mohammed became who he was and why Islam is what it is

Jim Croft

The Bible indicates that dishonest scales are an abomination. (Prov 11:1, 20:23) I don't believe the principle applies merely to marketplace scales. It also has relevance in the degree of fairness exhibited when assessing the history of religions one against another.

This article will briefly examine the history of Mohammed and Islam against that of Judaism and Christianity. We often point fingers at the historical actions of Muslims and their prophet as though the histories of Jews and Christians wouldn't draw equal fire.

Mohammed's Day

The Prophet of Islam was born in 570AD and died in 632AD. At the age of 40 he began to get visionary revelations that led to the inception of Islam in 610AD. In his day, lives were not cluttered by endless barrages of information. Unlike today, there were not innumerable forms of entertainment to help people temporarily escape life's harshness. This made much more time available for people to mediate on spiritual matters.

Apparently, Mohammed was a seeker. He resided in Arabia where the dominant religions were Polytheism, Judaism and Christianity. The influences of those religions were in the

mix as Mohammed sought revelation. A supernatural entity appeared to him in visions. It claimed to be the Archangel Gabriel.

Of course, Christians know that 2nd Corinthians 11:13-14 clearly states that Satan or one of his emissaries can manifest as an angel of light to instill deception. That is what happened to Mohammed.

It is doubtful that Mohammed initially had aspirations to become the self-proclaimed prophet of a new religion. It is more likely that as the evil angelic visitations continued, he became infested with a deceiving spirit. A basic of tenet of the false doctrines given to Mohammed during his revelations was the denial of the Father and Son relationship of God and Jesus of Nazareth. The First Epistle of John 2:21-23 reveals that denial a manifestation of the spirit of antichrist.

Furthermore, the evil entity led Mohammed into believing that he was God's final prophet who was granted placement superior to Jesus Christ. It incited him with the notion that God (Allah) had ordained him to institute Islam that by definition means submission to God.

Arabian polytheists held that Allah was chief among 300 plus gods. Allah was the major god of Mohammed's orientation. It was natural for Mohammed to assume that the supreme deity of his culture should be declared Islam's one and only god.

It was somewhat like Native American Indians identifying their traditional Great Spirit of the Sky as identical to the God of whom Christian missionaries spoke. This type of assumption was not unprecedented in the cultures of Bible times.

In his Mars Hill sermon, the Apostle Paul acknowledged that the chief god of a culture could be presented as the one true God whom he proclaimed. Paul did so by quoting the prophetic poetry of the idolatrous soothsayers Epimenides and Aratus. In first half of Acts 17:28, when making reference to the Unknown God, Paul quoted Epimendes when he said: "for in Him

we live and move and have our being.” In the second half of the verse, Paul quoted Aratus: “For we are also His offspring.”

Both BCE prophets were making reference to the Greek’s king of all gods, Zeus. Aratus’ poem was *Phaenomena*. It spoke of how all mankind are the offspring of Zeus and that all live and have being in him.

Paul inferred that the chief deity that the ancient Greeks called Zeus was equivalent to the Supreme Unknown God about whom he preached. We give him free pass for doing so. Therefore, it might not be righteous balance for Christians to criticize Mohammed for referring to what he thought to be the one true God as Allah.

Similarities of Barbarism

I will give you examples of the cruel practices of Islam that were also found in the lives of some Bible heroes. I’m not condoning the behavior and I don’t by any means believe that such practices belong in the Twenty-first Century. My purpose is to establish that Islam, Judaism and Christianity share embarrassing histories. I will not give chapter and verse for all points as anyone familiar with the Scriptures will recognize the validity of that which is stated.

- Mohammed had slaves and the Muslims were key players in pre-20th Century slave trafficking. (The Old and New Testament endorsed slavery)
- The Koran and the Hadiths (the practices) of Mohammed prescribe punishments of flogging, stoning and appendage amputation. (In the Old Testament, limits were set on the severity with which slaves were flogged. They were not to be beaten so hard as to bring about death on the day punished. (Ex 21:20-21) Rebellious teens and promiscuous women risked death by stoning. (Deut 21:18-21; 22:20-21) Jesus mentioned that it is better to

pluck out an offending eye and to cut off a sinful hand than to chance going into eternity with sin ridden bodily parts. It is not unheard of for some to take sayings of holy men in a strict rather than figurative sense. Matt 5:29-30; Deut 25:11-12)

- Mohammed and generations of Islamic armies considered women war booty for harems of multiple wives and concubines. (King David conspired to have Uriah killed in battle so that he could cover his adulterous affair and impregnation of Uriah's wife. King Solomon had 700 wives and 300 concubines)
- Islam's Jihad warriors were highwaymen plunderers of caravans. (Samson killed 30 men and took their clothes to satisfy a wager)
- Mohammed personally slaughtered 600 Jewish captives after the Battle of the Trenches. (Elijah did the same to 450 prophets of Baal on Mt Carmel)
- It is estimated that Islamic Jihad has taken 270 million lives since its founding. 60 million of the butchered were Christians. (Joshua's armies were commanded to execute every man, woman and infant when taking occupation of Canaan. King Saul lost his throne because he failed to kill every person and beast of the Amalekites)
- Americans are grieved to indignation by reports of US trained Muslim Afghan soldier's torturing dogs for entertainment. (Samson caught 300 foxes. He tied their tails together in braces of 2 and set them ablaze to destroy the Philistine's crops)
- Past and present anti-Semitism is unquestionably Islamic policy. (Were it not for Christian anti-Semitism the Inquisitions, Czarist Purging and Nazi Holocaust could not have ever happened)

The Problem with Islam

If Christians were saddled with the legalism of the Old Testament era, some might consider the horrors of present day Islamic practice acceptable. All people are indebted to Jesus for ushering grace and mercy into the human equation. As the temperament of our Loving Heavenly Father has gradually unfolded throughout the years of Christianity, offensive excesses have been recognized and laid aside. Societal sensitivities have advanced for the better.

Not so with Islam. It drags loyal adherents back to a 7th Century mindset. Islam still has practices that are highly objectionable to people of Twenty-first Century conscience. It is virtually impossible to accurately disclose the many ways that Islam alarms modern sensitivities without appearance of sensationalism.

Islam is ever gaining political clout and many nations are in danger of Islamization. That makes it necessary to confront Muslims about areas where their religion continues to perpetuate that which is cruel and unusual. Those acquainted with Islam's aberrant customs are obligated to warn the gullible not to be deceived by assertions that Islam is at all points a religion of peace.

In spite of whatever good intentions Mohammed originally had about forming a uniting religion, it did not end up that way. The subtle entity that guided him led him down a path of which most non-Muslims are not aware. Islam is not merely a religion that seeks political influence to insure freedom to function. It is a religious-state political system that governs every aspect of private and societal life that is interdependent on its supporting religion called Islam. The religious-state governing power is Shariah Law.

Mohammed's false revelations transposed over modern cultures hinder innovative creativity that fosters the abundant life that Jesus promised. Shariah Law is incapable of producing

anything better than it has produced in Islamic stronghold nations during the past 1,300 years.

Treasured Assets

That being said, Islam does have some good components. Its disciplines of 5 daily prayer sessions; fasting; and giving alms are commendable. When Muslims convert to Christianity they bring fervency with them. The question is how do we attract Muslims that should be considered precious assets? The biblical answer is for believers to pray for the salvation of all mankind. There is good reason to have special faith for Muslims. Tens of thousands of Muslims are receiving authentic supernatural revelations of the divinity of Jesus Christ. They are recipients of insights from the Triune God and are having their lives gloriously transformed by conversion.

Christians can assist in the process in several ways: Pray for an increase of visitations of the Holy Spirit in Muslim lives. Christians should gird-up personal faith to demonstrate miraculous healing and to bear evidence of God's blessing that verify Jesus' claim to be the Eternal Son of God. It is important that our conversations with Muslims be seasoned with grace.

If we expect to win Muslims for Christ, it will not do to begin interaction by inflammatory statements labeling Allah a demon. After that nature of opening remark; anything said next will really have to be clever.

When it comes to dealing with Muslims on the personal level, it is best to heed the words of Jimmy Stewart in the movie classic, Harvey.

Stewart playing the part of Elwood P. Dowd said in his customary stammering drawl: Years ago my mother used to say to me, she'd say, "In this world, Elwood, you must be" – she

always called me Elwood – “In this world, Elwood, you must be oh so smart or oh so pleasant.” Well, for years I was smart. I recommend pleasant. You can quote me.

Be blessed and be a blessing,

Jim Croft

Are You Sure That You Are Not At War with Islam?

Jim Croft

It is important for anyone wanting to discuss Islamic issues intelligently to understand that the popular term “Moderate Muslims” is a rather misleading term. The Liberal Media and the governments of many democracies apply it to most every Muslim living anywhere and especially to those residing in their nations. They insist that only a minuscule percentage of the world’s 1.2 billion Muslims have inclinations favoring Islam’s harsh intolerance of other religions and inclinations toward Jihad terrorism.

Moderate Muslim Needs Definition

Yes, there are many Muslims living in democratic countries and even in Islamic republics that are not disposed toward hyper-religious activism. They are Muslim by birth and culture. However, mosque attendance and adherence to Islam’s various laws are not front-burner concerns in their lives.

For all intents and purposes, they are secularized people who

simply want to provide prosperous lives for their families and don't mind if those of other faiths do the same. The "Moderate" term is applicable to them in the sense that they are equivalents to nominal Christians who are Christian in name only. Religious involvement is not of interest to them. Secularized Muslims are truly "harmless moderates." They do not endorse terrorism and would try to avoid participation in enactments of Shariah Law penalties on Muslims who violate Mohammed's policies.

Nonetheless, there is another massively larger group of Muslims that are referred to as "Moderate Muslims." They by no means are as harmless in all respects as Islamist spokesmen and the News Media would lead us to believe. This group is comprised of the majority of the world's Muslim population. On one hand, they do not belong to or knowingly aid and abet any international Islamic terrorist organizations. In that sense they are "Moderates."

However, Islamic terror is not restricted to fanatics igniting bombs to kill the citizens of Christian nations. Terror is built into Shariah Law. The majority of the globe's "Moderate Muslims of whom I'm now speaking feel obligated to live compliant to Shariah Law. That qualifies them as potential participants in terrifying acts at the societal and family level. The truth is that in order for them to be considered authentically Muslim by their peers they must comply with Shariah Law. It is like water and wet. You cannot indulge in water without getting wet.

Shariah Law calls for vehement anti-Semitism; beating wives deemed insolent; honor killings for those who marry outside of Islam; death by stoning for girls charged with premarital sex and wives accused of adultery; amputating the hands of thieves; and the public flogging of any Islamic citizen who breaks Shariah Law. In addition, Islamic Law endorses marriage to child brides and permits pedophilia with little boys and in many Islamic strongholds, a blind-eye is given to

slavery.

Muslims who are labeled as “Moderates” carry out those terror ridden policies and practices everyday in cities and remote villages throughout the Islamic world. In that regard there is nothing truly “moderate” about the mass majority of the global Islamic population.

Not at War with Islam?

Western diplomats whose governments are engaged in the War on Terror frequently assert that their nations are not at war with Islam. That is accurate in that the war declarations do not include the objective of eradicating Islam as a religion.

Religionists who want to appear ecumenical and knowledgeable often stress that they are not at war with Islam. They do so out of ignorance. There is plenty about Islam that should be opposed with passion.

I must ask how the same people would respond to the following questions: Are you OK with wife beating and stoning suspected adulteresses? Do you believe that it is right for a rape victim to need four male witnesses to prove the act was not consensual? Would you enthusiastically support legislation that decriminalizes the likes of pedophilia and the marriages of girls who are under 12 years of age? Would you vote in favor of the reinstatement of slavery?

If your answers to all of the questions are affirmative, you are indeed at peace with Islam. However, if your answer to all of the questions is an adamant, “No”; you my friend are at war with Islam.

Had your understanding of Islam included the full implications of its Shariah Law, you would resist it. Like any person of sincere faith who knows the facts, you would be opposed to Islam for conscience sake. And, most likely within your

heart, your opposition would carry an indignant, warlike flame.

As a youngster in 1954, I saw a terrified little girl of around 9 dragged screaming through a market in Tripoli, Libya. I asked our Muslim guide what was happening. He casually said, "She has just been purchased by that man to be one of his house servants and concubines."

In the mid-'80's, I made a trip to Nigeria. I saw a young boy of 8 getting kicked to death by a group of men. His crime was stealing a piece of fruit from a street vendor. On the same trip, I learned that during any given month, hundreds of girls with torn bladders were abandoned at clinics and left to sit in their own urine. I asked why so. I was informed that the girls' bladders had torn while in the rigors of giving birth to children. Their wealthy husbands who had purchased them from impoverished parents no longer had any use for them. I asked what I needed to do to get a team of physicians into Nigeria to help such girls. I was told, "Don't bother to try. Even if our Muslim led government permitted it, all of the doctors would have to be women. Surely, you are aware that it is a sin for a man to look on another man's wife and an insult to Islam."

The incidents I have just described all happened decades prior to the now common acts of international terrorism driven by Mohammed's Koran and his sayings in the Hadiths. What I witnessed inspired me to explore Islam. I wanted to know how a major world religion could allow and even fuel such unconscionable attitudes. My studies have revealed there is a lot that is very, very wrong with Islam.

In discussions about Islamic issues, when someone applies the term "Moderate Muslim" to most every Muslim on the planet; it is appropriate to ask the speaker how they define "Moderate." Most likely their answer will be vague. You can enliven the discussion by giving clarity to the term through

what you have learned in this article.

The same goes for broad statements about how we are not at war with Islam. At that point you might affirm that the assertion is factual in regard to armed conflict. However, you might quickly add that Islamic terror is not limited to acts of terrorism on foreign shores. Then ask them how they feel about some of the grievous policies and practices of Shariah Law that are cruel, unusual and unjust. After listing a few, you might inquire: "If you are not morally and ethically at war with such practices; pray tell, what are the societal wrongs that you would passionately decry?"

Egypt's Risky Fires of Revolution

This revolution could toss Egypt's first citizens, the Copts, from the frying pan and into the fire

Jim Croft

It is a drastic mistake for Americans to take a casual view of what is happening in Egypt. It represents far more than ousting the military dictatorship of President Hosni Mubarak. His replacement will likely be a tragic trade. In short, the demon that Egypt's Christian citizens and the USA have known for the past 30 years is far better than the demon we don't

know. It is like Iran's Revolution of 1979, only worse. It deposed the corrupt Shah of Iran, but ushered in the greater woes of the likes of Ayatollah Ali Khamenei and Ahmadinejad. Revolution is risky. It could take the Copts of Egypt and the USA from the frying pan of hardships with Islam and into the fires of the worst Islam has to offer.

Behind the Scenes

Egypt's situation is being manipulated by malign forces that most Americans do not understand and that the current US Presidential Administration chooses not to acknowledge as a threat. It does so because the policy is that Islamists are peaceful and harmless and have no intentions of altering anyone's way of life. The primary unseen Egyptian manipulators are members of the grandfather of all Islamic terrorist groups, the Muslim Brotherhood. If the group gains control, it will continue its history of producing terrorists like Osama Bin Laden and 9/11's Mohamed Atta.

Egypt will become a safe haven and a strategic springboard from which organizations like Al Qaeda can operate. Aside from an increase in acts of global terrorism, America's economy will unquestionably be jeopardized. If the Brotherhood has any say in the matter, the 3.5 – 4 million barrels of oil that are transported daily through the Suez Canal and the Sumed pipeline will become their tool to cripple economies worldwide. Oil at a mere \$5.00 per gallon will be considered mercy.

A Bit of History

The Muslim Brotherhood was spawned by Muslim insurrectionists in Egypt during the late 1920s through a maneuver of Islamic trickery. The ploy used was Islam's principle of al taqiyya. It encourages Muslims to lie to extend the purposes of Islam. Here is what happened. After the Ottoman Empire collapsed,

Egypt got its independence. Mohammed Ali, an officer from the Ottoman Empire Era, seized power. At the time, the nation was also occupied by the British that had been there since 1882.

In 1919, Egypt's Muslims and Christians joined hands in a revolution against both. They demanded equal liberties for all Egyptians. However, the moment the revolution was successful the Muslims turned on the Coptic Christians with whom they had momentarily feigned alliance. The telltale sign that Egyptian Christians were excluded from equal rights with Muslims became apparent with the 1923 Egyptian Constitution. Its first article specifically declared Egypt an Islamic State. Christians were forced to settle for little more than a hardly discernable upgrade from their historic Islamic status under Islam; that of second class dhimmitude citizens.

The Muslim Brotherhood appeared from the shadows as an official organization in 1928 under the leadership of Hassan Al Banna. It became the militant arm of Islam to Islamize Egyptian society by force. To demonstrate their allegiance to Islam and their commitment to violence, Brotherhood members took the organization's oath with their hands placed on the Quran and a gun.

Their motto was: Allah is our goal. The Apostle Mohammed is our role model. The Quran is our constitution. Jihad is our method of conquest. And, dying for the sake of Allah is our utmost aspiration.

From the onset, the Muslim Brotherhood has made every effort to keep their pledge. They instantly perpetrated the assassinations of many public figures that they believed obstacles between them and power. In 1952 a group known as the Free Officers headed by Gamal Nasser instigated a coup against King Farouk. Most of them were members of the Brotherhood. When the Brotherhood found that Nasser did not have any plans to share power with them, the Brotherhood tried to assassinate him in 1954. Nasser survived the attack and outlawed the

organization. He ordered the arrests and imprisonment of its members. For a while, even the Christians of Egypt lived relatively peaceful lives.

That all changed when Anwar Sadat took office in 1971. He perceived the Muslim Brotherhood convenient to help strengthen his grip over the Nasserites and Communists. He released members of the Brotherhood from prison. Violent attacks started against the Copts and Sadat was assassinated by elements of the Brotherhood in 1981.

Who are the Copts?

The Copts are the direct descendants of the Egyptians of antiquity. They are non-Arab and have their own distinct language. The first Egyptians were evangelized by St. Mark between 48AD and his martyrdom in 61AD. The Coptic Church or the Church of Alexandria is also called the See of St Mark. It was among the earliest Sees along with Jerusalem, Antioch, and Rome. Islamic Jihad overran Egypt in the 7th Century and has been persecuting the descendants of the original Egyptians ever since. Hosni Mubarak has turned a blind eye towards the flagrant discriminations and violent attacks of Muslims against Copts.

After taking office in 1981, Mubarak adopted a policy of reconciliation with the Brotherhood. He forbade attacks on government officials and foreign tourists. When they crossed the line, he usually reacted with harsh reprisals. However, he was lenient when they attacked the Copts. This was meant to appease the Islamic majority who has been growing in fanaticism as a result of the spread of Saudi Arabia's brand of strict Wahhabi Islam.

Countless numbers of Copts have been victimized. Their young daughters are routinely kidnapped and raped by Muslims. The shamed girls feel obligated to marry and to become Muslim. The practice is nothing less than a form of religious-ethnic

cleansing via matrimony. The objective is to eradicate pure Egyptians and Christianity from Egypt; one family at a time.

There has been rioting; the burning of homes and businesses; and widespread looting in major metropolitan areas such as Cairo, Alexandria and other cities across Egypt since the protest started last week. Reliable sources report that the most frequent targets for the violence have been the Copts. The Islamic fanatics know very well that under Mubarak's administration a slap on the wrist is the worst punishment they are likely to receive if ever apprehended. They also know that if the Muslim Brotherhood becomes a major player in the new government as they hope, no license will be required to terrorize the Copts. What has been a hardly tolerable situation under Mubarak has strong chances to become far more smothering for the Copts.

The problem is that the UN and Western democracies have Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) when it comes to staying on target until the complaints of religious minorities are resolved. There are heavy odds that the Copts will be left to languish under an Islamic regime crueler than Mubarak's.

Conclusion

Educated self-interest dictates that it would be wise for Americans to become activated to prevent the likes of the Muslim Brotherhood from coming to power in Egypt. For the past 30 years billions of dollars in US foreign aid have been given to prop up Mubarak's regime. Conscientious citizens need to push their congressional representatives not to let the give away policies continue in support of a religious-state Islamic government. The present US Presidential Administration is cozy enough with Islam to let our \$1.5 billion per year in foreign aid to Egypt continue, no matter who is in power. To support an Islamic regime that will harbor terrorist groups like Al Qaeda and that will put us into a death grip with skyrocketing

oil prices is one and the same as financing our nation's assassination.

All Americans must speak up on behalf of the Copts of Egypt. We must not rest until they are given full rights in their homeland and are guaranteed well deserved protection from antagonistic Muslim zealots. Nothing less than persistent hounding of our governmental officials will suffice. They too have turned a blind eye to what has happened to Egypt's first citizens over the years.

For those who enjoy miraculous testimonies of how Jesus is intervening to transform fanatical Muslims into Christ's lambs, I would like to recommend a book that is available through Islam Review. It is titled, Snatched from the Lion's Jaws. I helped edit the English version. It is the story of the miraculous conversion of an Amir of an offshoot of the Muslim Brotherhood. It will help you understand the antichrist powers that energize such groups.

The Shark Beneath Islam's Surface

Terrorism is the dorsal fin of a lurking greater threat to freedom – Sharia Law

Jim Croft

The Obama Administration has taken exception to associating Islam with the acts of terrorism perpetrated by Muslims. Though the President repeatedly claimed to be a Christian during his election campaign; there is no question that he has continual loyalties to the religion of his father and

stepfather, Islam. His administration would lead us to believe that only isolated radical groups such as Al Qaeda are responsible for creating violence and injustices in the societies of non-Muslims. Their assertions are a blatant denial of the history and of the practices inherent with Islam. After reading this article you will have insights into how our President can so easily betray his campaign promises and the promises he has made post his election without pangs of conscience. In short, he might attend Christian church services at Camp David, but his actions give innuendos of the mindset of a Muslim raised under and programmed with Sharia Law.

Verifications of the Islamic injustices that I expose in this article can be found at the Internet website of www.faithfreedom.org. The site features the testimonies of X-Muslim intellectuals that have forsaken Islam due to its 7th Century barbarisms. They were born and raised in Islam and know the realities of which I speak. The Website www.Islamreview.com gives evidence of the same unjust practices from a Christian perspective.

Basics

For Muslims, the Quran is the will and word of Allah delivered by revelatory visions to Mohammad by the Archangel Gabriel. The Quran cannot be questioned or criticized by anyone. Mohammad's sayings, judicial rulings and deeds are recorded in the Hadiths. Sharia Law (Islamic Law) is comprised from the totalities of the Quran and the Hadiths. Sharia Law is based upon the revelations, sayings, judicial rulings and deeds of Mohammad. Muslims are predisposed to honor Mohammad as the epitome of human perfection in character, words and deeds. He is the role model that all Muslims are obligated to emulate. To disrespect Mohammad and/or to challenge the Quran, the Hadiths, and Sharia Law is heretical and is punishable by death. The death sentence can be enacted on Muslims and non-

Muslims alike.

Provocations to violence are as inseparable from Islam as wet is from water. The previously mentioned holy writings of Islam are filled with inflammatory verses and passages that have incited Muslims to violence and barbarous acts for the past 1,300 years. The proof can be seen by incidents of the appearance of words denoting violence in the Quran and the Hadiths as compared to appearances of the same words in the Old and New Testaments of the Bible. The words are: Jihad, battle and war; kill; spears; bows and arrows; rob; bloodshed; invasion; murder; terror; perish; and revenge and vengeance. They are found in the Islamic holy writings a whopping 25,879 times. They appear in the entirety of the Christian Bible only 1,364 times. That should give broad hints that Islam is anything but a peaceful religion.

It is accurate to state that within Islam no one is safe. Muslims of opposing sects; Muslim women; female infants; young girls; anyone accused of crimes and misdemeanors; and those labeled guilty of apostasy and adultery are just as apt to be targeted for inhumane practices and punishments as non-Muslims. Muslims kill far more fellow Muslims annually than those who die in combat against non-Muslims. In Rwanda, hundreds of thousands of Muslims died by genocide. The issue was non-Arabic speaking Muslims getting wiped out by those who spoke Arabic who consider themselves superior. In the War between Iran and Iraq during the '80s, a major point fueling the hostilities was about which nation's dominant sect of Islam was the most authentic. There were over 1 million casualties.

Moderate Muslim Myth

I do not believe that every Muslim is a potential terrorist. Many living in Western societies are simply interested in pursuing paths that lead to happiness and prosperity. The same could be said about many Muslims residing in the darkness of

Islamic stronghold nations. Simultaneously, the same peace loving Muslims know a truth that our liberal politicians refuse to acknowledge. Moderates realize that their milder spiritual intensities are out of sync in representing what it truly means to be a Muslim in good standing with Islam. They fully understand that the Muslims that commit acts of terror; behead infidels; hate Jews; beat their wives; flog and stone adulterers; and cut the limbs off of thieves are functioning under the dictates of the Quran and the Hadiths of the Prophet Mohammad. Some Muslims are not shocked to learn that a revered male relative practices "thighing" with a female infant living in the household. Thighing is a form of masturbation wherein the male organ is rubbed between the thighs of a baby girl to bring about ejaculation. Fortunately, there are Moderates that oppose the practice. But, they are considered non-Muslims by those who do favor the practice and can risk death if controversies arise over incidents of thighing. Nevertheless, it remains a sick, twisted pedophilic practice that is fully authorized under the teachings of Islam. All that I have mentioned to this point are permissible under Sharia Law (Islamic Law). It is the shark beneath the surface of Islam.

Differing Worldviews

The Sharia Law that is instilled in Muslims gives them a mindset that has more dissimilarities than similarities with Judeo-Christian values. The Western mindset is that if you are nice and accommodating to those of other faiths they will reciprocate. Our assumptions do not holdup in regard to Islam. We welcome Muslims to build Mosques in our nation. Saudi Arabia is the birthplace of Islam. Our "friends", the Saudis will not permit us to build churches on their sacred soil. It is a criminal offense for a Saudi Arabian citizen to practice Christianity and the only houses of worship in existence there are mosques. Our young men and women have been shedding their blood in Iraq for years to give the Iraqis

a better way of life. It is not uncommon for returning veterans to report that they heard and saw the Muslims they liberated chanting anti-American sentiments on Islamic holidays. The Jewish Hospitals in Israel give wounded Palestinians identical care to that given to their own wounded servicemen. The Palestinians cheer as wounded Israelis are dragged behind jeeps through the streets of Gaza.

Cruel & Unusual – So very Sharia

Americas Constitutional laws forbid cruel and unusual punishments. We make every attempt to make all penalties as painless as possible. Judeo-Christian sensitivities would reject claims that anyone purposely enacting barbaric punishments on the guilty are acting in the name of God. Not so with Islamic Sharia Law. The majority of the world's Muslims view public floggings, stonings, limb amputations and beheadings as just and reasonable forms of civil punishment. Stoning is an art form. Those who officiate a stoning insist that the stoners use rocks big enough to wound, but not big enough to kill with a single blow. The purpose is to make the deaths of the punished more agonizing and the pleasure of the stoners and audience more exhilarating. I know that Western readers cannot relate to the realities I'm stating. Naiveté makes them assume everyone is obligated to share their Judeo-Christian sensitivities. They are not and do not. Muslims, who are otherwise friendly and sweet people, when raised in lands where Sharia Law reigns have a completely different mindset than that of the members of the world's other major religions. Sharia indoctrinated Muslims perceive the prolonged pains inflicted on those guilty of promiscuity, apostasy, thievery and the like, as the just rewards for their sins.

Due to the dictates of Sharia Law, terrorism is just the tip of iceberg when it comes to Islamic violence and its indignities against mankind. The punishments of which I have

spoken are doled out every week in remote locales where Muslim villagers have never considered becoming terrorists. Worst still, entire villages turn out for the occasions. Honor killings, wherein the male members of a family secretly execute a daughter, a sister is Islamic Law's prescription for promiscuity. Somehow, that does not sound like motivations coming from a compassionate and forgiving God.

Many might complain that I'm exaggerating the extent of Islam's cruelties. The Liberal press and the current administration would have you believe the types of incidents of which I'm speaking are rare and isolated anomalies. I challenge you to search the Internet websites of Human Rights watchdog groups. You will find that predominantly Muslim areas of the globe have infractions disproportionate to the numbers of any other single religious group.

Sobering Sharia

Moderate Muslims don't speak up to denounce the crimes of fundamentalists because they know that according to Sharia Law it is the Moderates rather than the radicals who are heretical. Those whom we call radicals, fanatics and fundamentalists have not hijacked Islam. Long before those terms came into vogue; the religion that our politicians and academics call noble was inciting inhumane acts in homes, villages, cities and nations worldwide. The painful truth is that Muslims who commit the atrocities are functioning within the boundaries of Sharia Law.

Western Christians tend to view Islam merely as a religion. That is a major mistake. Muslims do not view Islam as a religion in the same way that Americans view Christianity, Hinduism, Judaism and so forth as forms of faith that individuals can observe in keeping with their individual preferences and spiritual needs. Muslims view Islam as a complete way of life. It is a political system that touches every aspect of personal life; societal practices; education;

and the governing of a nation. For Islamists, the primary goal is to institute Sharia Law as the sole rule of Law over the inhabitants of the entire globe whether the inhabitants are Muslims or not.

Islamists know that they can make converting people to Islam a secondary objective because once Sharia Law is instituted; it will eventually pressure the masses into becoming Muslims. The Islamic agenda is for Sharia Law to become the Law of the Land in the USA. Unbeknownst to naïve Americans, Sharia Law conditions Muslims to perceive everything about democracy and the ability to express freedom in one's choice of religions as abominations to the will of Allah and to that of his prophet Mohammad. The nature of Sharia's 100% rule over every facet of individual and cultural life is designed to bully out all possibilities for any other types of religions to exist on an ongoing basis.

Jews and Christians once worshipped freely in Arabia. Today it is 100% Muslim. Lebanon at one time was a predominantly Christian nation. Today, it is under Islam's thumb. Coptic Christians once thrived in Egypt. Presently, the Copts are a highly persecuted minority. Their daughters are kidnapped and raped by Muslims without governmental reprisals. The humiliations are purposed to force the girls into converting to Islam. The Copts cannot repair existing churches without provincial government approval. They cannot build new churches without a presidential order. It is a rarity for Copts to secure high ranking employment. For the most part, no matter how brilliant a Copt might be, he/she cannot hold a position superior to onsite Muslims in most vocations. Those are the bite marks of the shark of Sharia Law. It swims beneath the surface of Islam waiting to devour the freedoms of any nation so foolish as to accommodate Muslims at every turn.

A Little History

When Mohammad first introduced Islam in ancient Mecca of Arabia, he treated it as a new superior religion that he hoped would replace polytheism, Christianity and Judaism. He attempted to gain followers by peaceful persuasions about Islam. Mohammad's proselytizing efforts were a complete failure. After a number of years he was only able to convert a few followers. This enraged the so-called prophet of Allah. To insure the survival of Islam, Mohammad moved his headquarters to Medina, Arabia. It was a demon of deception disguised as the archangel Gabriel that guided Mohammad. The hideous entity began to give Mohammad revelations that the way to get people under Sharia Law was through coercions. That brought Jihad warfare into being.

Nation after nation was brought into subjection to Sharia Law. The fates awaiting the conquered were not appealing. The first choice was conversion to Islam. Captives that did not convert had to be stouthearted to endure their destinies.

Native Jews and Christians that refused to become Muslims were reduced to second-class citizens. The Arabic term for such people is *dhimmi*. They had to pay the *Jezya*, an extra tax. They could not hold any job titles where they were superior to Muslims; they had to wear clothing distinguishing them from Muslims; and they could not build or repair houses of worship for their respective religions. It was the Christians, Jews and the Muslim converts of conquered nations that enabled Islam to get credit for what is referred to as Islam's Golden Age between the 7th and 12th Centuries.

Islam plundered nations like Egypt, Persia, Syria and Iraq that had rich histories of math and science advancements. The innovations were already in progress before the Muslim takeover. Nonetheless, Islam claims the clever achievements as its own. It was actually the second class *dhimmi* and the non-Arab Muslim converts of those cultures that were doing the

work. The telltale sign of the truth of this statement is seen in the fact that all new innovations from Islamic stronghold nations abruptly stopped after the 12th Century. Islam's death grip finally quenched creativity and the brilliant minds of native Egyptians, Persians, Syrians and Iraqis came to a stand still. In numerous ways those nations reverted back to the Arab ignorance of the 7th Century. In our present-day, it is the advancements provided from Christianized nations that give Islamic nations semblances of looking like modern cultures.

Many of the conquered were forced into slavery. Historically, Islamic nations have always been the biggest traffickers in the slave trade. The Muslim pirates of the Barbary Coast captured European and even American women and sold them into harem slavery to sheiks throughout the Middle East. The concept of Blacks becoming Muslims in retaliation for slavery by Whites is ill-advised. Inner tribal slavery was an African practice from time immemorial. Muslim Arab slave traders took advantage of the situation and purchased slaves from tribal chiefs and kings. In turn, it was Muslims that auctioned Blacks to slave ship merchants to be sent to the Americas.

The citizens of overrun countries that did not convert; those that did not get dhimmitude status; and those that did not become slaves were executed.

Mohammad personally beheaded at least 600 captured Jewish men in Medina on a single day. The young boys of conquered countries were either slaughtered or were reduced to eunuchs after developing pubic hair.

Shark's Agenda

It is important for Europeans and Americans to understand that significant numbers of their Muslim populations want to manipulate them to come under Sharia Law and its stifling

affects. Here is what might be observed about their present-day agenda: As long as the Muslim population in a given Christian nation is under 5%; the Muslims consider themselves in a disadvantaged, weak position. During those periods, many Sharia activists present themselves as peace-loving citizens that simply want to enjoy the benefits of a free society.

However, the moment they reach 5% of a population, they consider themselves no longer weak, but strong. It is then that they activate and begin to exercise political power by using the politically correct assumptions of Christianized nations against them. In other words, Islamists will use America's liberal laws to get a foothold that will eventually enable them to insert Sharia Law into our governmental scaffolding.

At this time, the Muslim population in America is around 0.6%. But, they have correctly discerned that there is no need to wait until they arrive at the ideal 5% of our population to begin exercising political and social pressures. Our laws are so liberal that we are allowing Muslims to initiate Sharia *halal* (dietary) laws and prayer absolution washing sites in our State schools. Mosques and Islamic educational centers are being built throughout the USA. A recently passed Presidential order HB 1388 gave Hamas Palestinian immigrants \$20 million in US tax-payer funding to relocate in the USA. Hamas is well known to be an Iranian funded terrorist organization. Muslim activists are aware that the more accustomed Americans become to seeing Islamic garb and institutions; the more easily we will accept baby bites of Sharia Law. We will become numb to the reality that minaret calls to prayer 5 times daily have drowned out church bells. All the while, the Liberal agenda of our politicians and the efforts of the ACLU are causing symbols of Christianity to disappear from the American landscape.

If we do not wakeup to the Sharia shark that is lurking beneath Islam's surface, our children's children will be

Muslims and dhimmis. The Law of the Land over the USA will be Sharia Law. In that event, eventually the shark of Sharia will gobble-up everything remotely akin to the America we once knew and loved. It is the American way to be nice to those of diverse faiths. With Islam, if we are continually overnice, we will find ourselves swimming with the Sharia Shark.

Slippery Sharia

In the introduction to this article, I alluded to our President's seeming ability to backtrack on promises without sensing obligations to provide apologies. In my estimation, his duplicitous ways were programmed within him during his indoctrinations into Islam and Sharia Law during his formative years. We are presently experiencing the affects of those indoctrinations. Hear is how:

Sharia Laws are not listed in an orderly fashion in the Quran and in the Hadiths like we list the Articles of our Constitution and the Bill of Rights. The Sharia Laws are scattered throughout the holy books of Islam and are based on the revelations, sayings, actions and judicial rulings of Mohammad. Most of the Sharia Laws are universally accepted by the Muslims of all nations. There are a few laws that are peculiar to a given nation because they were spontaneously contrived as the Islamic leaders saw a need for a convenient new Sharia Law. An example of a concocted law is found under Iran's Khomeini regime. In Iran's Evin Prison, virgin X-Muslim young women who converted to Christianity and those who broke other Sharia Laws are systematically raped by the guards. The same guards then go home to enjoy time with their wives and kids. The rapes are rationalized as righteous deeds. How so? According to a tricky interpretation of 4:24-25 of the Quran from the Arabic; under Sharia Law, a virgin cannot go to hell. The rapes insure that the criminal women will go to hell at death rather than to Paradise.

Examples of Sharia Laws accepted by Muslims everywhere would

be the 6 tenets of Islam which include the Muslim shahada confession of faith and Jihad. There are 2 other Sharia principles known by all Muslims and by anyone as well acquainted with Islam as our President. The first is al taqiyya. It is found in 3:28 of the Quran. It gives Muslims the right to lie to non-Muslims without committing a sin. The second law pertains to vows and oaths. It is found in 2:225. It gives Muslims the option not to keep the agreements, vows and oaths they make unless they were uttered from the true intentions of the heart when spoken. Any oaths taken out of necessity, including oaths of office that were not spoken with sincerity of heart can be broken without any pangs of conscience. It is my speculation that the 2 principles of Sharia Law that I've just outlined are subliminally operational within the Obama administration. That is why campaign and other promises are so easily broken. It is also my guesstimate that is why the vow to protect and to uphold the Constitution is violated without conscience at so many points of policy and legislation. I am positive the 2 Sharia principles are what is empowering the corrupt workers in Iraq's and Afghanistan's State and city governments to rob billions from US funding intended to rebuild the infrastructures of those nations. For those Muslims, America's politicians are simply useful idiots. I totally reject the portion of the concept that deems them useful.

There you have it! Islamic terrorism is merely the dorsal fin of the freedom eating shark called Sharia Law. Every time that you have to go through the sluggish security lines at our airports, let it remind you of an essential fact: Were it not for incitements from Islam's holy books and enthusiasts to institute Sharia Law globally; Muslims would not have any motivations to become homicide bombers. If compulsions to enact Sharia Law in every sector of the planet were extracted from the Muslim equation; Islam might evolve into a peaceful religion.

Unfair PC Election Strategies

Jim Croft

The PC crowd is circulating some clips of Protestant pastors of national notoriety with the Republican candidate for the presidency, John McCain. The clips intermittingly insert inflammatory portions of their sermons that are fanatically anti-Islam. The attempt is to infer that John McCain is a Muslim hater that would outlaw Islam in America. The object is to derail his election hopes to a greater measure than connections with Rev. Not-So-Right Wright almost accomplished for Obama. In reality, there are no similarities between the two candidates and the degrees to which the respective clergymen might influence their policies.

Obama was a 20-year member of Wright's church. The man baptized his children and Barak Hussein used one of Wright's sermons for the title of his book, *The Audacity of Hope*. Anyone who is candid would admit that people's pastors reflect and inspire their core values. The wise fully understand the potentials for Wright's anti-American sentiments to shadow elements of what might be lurking in the hearts of Obama and his wife, Michelle. Not so with McCain and the measure of influence that Rev. Rod Parsley of Ohio and John Hagee of Texas have with him.

Those spiritual leaders would best be described as of Charismatic-Pentecostal orientation. This indicates that along with the Apostle's Creed they also exemplify doctrinal commitments that differ from traditional, mainline Christian denominations. Such includes divine healing and various other spiritual gifts. Both ministers speak in tongues and encourage

others to seek that gift. Years ago, I ministered exorcisms from evil spirits to those who had come to Hagee's church for that ministry. Hagee is vehemently pro-Israel. With Parsley, it is a mute topic.

John McCain was raised in an Episcopalian home. As an adult he joined a church of the Southern Baptist Convention, North Phoenix Baptist Church. He refers to himself as a Baptist. That particular denomination heavily emphasizes redemption from sin through faith in Jesus Christ. It's important to recognize that beyond that point of agreement, Hagee and Parsley's orientations and those of McCain's Southern Baptist pastor drastically differ. The official Southern Baptist position is that divine healing; speaking in tongues, prophecy and so forth are not relevant for contemporary Christians.

I'm pointing out the dissimilarities to punctuate the fact that much of what Hagee and Parsley routinely emphasize does not necessarily represent the spiritual and political values that McCain embraces. In other words, for the past 20 years, he has not subjected himself and his family to concepts that many Americans would consider radical. Phoenix and DC have an abundance of churches that mirror Hagee's and Parsley's. Yet, McCain has not chosen any of such as his ongoing place of worship and spiritual encouragement.

McCain is a seasoned and clever politician. Considering the massive following that the pastors in question have, he would be foolish not to appear on their nationally televised broadcasts. Unquestionably, he has an appreciation for Hagee's and Parsley's accomplishments and oratory skills. But, such is a far cry from choosing those men as spiritual mentors. No matter what religious affiliations you might have, you possibly deeply respected Pope John Paul and now deem the new Pope a genuine man of God. But, that does not equate to your endorsement of all of Catholicism's spiritual and political positions. So goes it for McCain with Hagee and Parsley.

Please spread the news; the clips that are being circulated are PC deceptive hype. Hagee and Parsley do not pastor John McCain. His loyalties are to the Constitution that gives people of every faith the freedom to worship wherever they choose. We can all be assured that if elected President, he would uphold the rights of peace loving Muslims with no less enthusiasm than Barak Obama.